

# Epidemiology of multi-resistance Gram negative pathogen circulating in Liguria and molecular characterization of different carbapenemases

**Erika Coppo<sup>1</sup>, Ramona Barbieri<sup>1</sup>, Paolo Piazzai<sup>2</sup>, Andrea Dusi<sup>3</sup>, David Usiglio<sup>4</sup>, Marco Mori<sup>4</sup>, Roberto Bandettini<sup>5</sup>, Agostina Ronca<sup>6</sup>, Luisa Santoriello<sup>6</sup>, Domizio Serra<sup>7</sup>, Silvia Reali<sup>8</sup>, Anna Marchese<sup>1</sup>, Eugenio A. Debbia<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Sezione di Microbiologia DISC, University of Genoa, Italy;

<sup>2</sup> ASL 3 San Carlo Hospital, Genoa-Voltri;

<sup>3</sup> ASL 1 Imperiese, Imperia;

<sup>4</sup> Ente Ospedaliero Galliera Hospital Genoa;

<sup>5</sup> Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa;

<sup>6</sup> Santa Corona Hospital, Pietra Ligure (Savona);

<sup>7</sup> International Evangelical Hospital, Genoa;

<sup>8</sup> ASL 4 Chiavarese, Genoa;

**Key words:** Metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase, KPC, VIM, OXA-23, Drug resistance

## SUMMARY

This study was conducted during January-April 2010 with the collaboration of 7 clinical microbiology laboratories evenly distributed across the Ligurian area to identify the most frequent Gram negative species and to evaluate their antibiotic susceptibility patterns

Overall, 110 consecutive multi-resistant non duplicate Gram negative isolates, were collected and sent to the coordinating laboratory (Sezione di Microbiologia del DISC, University of Genoa, Italy) together with susceptibility data obtained by routine methods. In addition, strains resistant to carbapenems were characterized by PCR.

A total of 110 Gram negative multi-resistance strains were found, including 74 and 36 isolated from healthcare or nosocomial settings and community acquired infections, respectively.

The most represented pathogens were: *A. baumannii* (38, 34.5%), *E. coli* (30, 27.2%), *P. aeruginosa* (29, 26.3%), *K. pneumoniae* (9, 8.2%) and *P. mirabilis* (4, 3.6%). *A. baumannii* were more frequently collected from healthcare settings or nosocomial samples, while the other strains were generally equally isolated from in- and out-patients.

Amikacin was the most active molecule against *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* (96,7% and 100% of susceptible stains respectively). Colistin was the only active molecule against *A. baumannii* and *P. aeruginosa* (100% of susceptible strains). Against *K. pneumoniae* tigecycline and colistin were the most active molecules (100% of susceptible strains).

Imipenem was the most active compound against *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* (100% of susceptible strains). A large number (97.4%) of *A. baumannii* was resistant to imipenem. *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* showed rates of resistance of 88% and 34.4% respectively.

*A. baumannii*, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* isolates resistant to Imipenem, carried OXA-23, KPC and VIM carbapenemases. These data shown a significant spread of multidrug-resistant Gram negative bacteria in hospitals and in communities. The production of carbapenemase in *A. baumannii*, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* is now an important phenomenon in our region.

## INTRODUCTION

Multidrug-resistant Gram negative bacilli are serious problem in healthcare facilities. These organisms represent difficult treatment challenges, and limiting their dissemination is an important public health objective. In 2010, infections caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria simultaneously resistant to at least three different classes of antimicrobial agents, MDR continue to challenge physicians and endanger their patients' lives (7).

There are a number of different methods in which

Gram-negative organisms develop resistance to antibiotics:  $\beta$ -lactamase production is the most important.

Extended spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBLs) are most often found in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*; however, other Gram negative bacilli including *Enterobacter* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Morganella morganii* and *Serratia marcescens* have also been found to produce these enzymes as well as AmpC cephalosporines (4).

Carbapenems are the preferred antimicrobial

## Corresponding author: Erika Coppo

Sezione di Microbiologia, C.A. Romanzi, Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia - DISC  
Largo Rosanna Benzi 10 - 16132 Genova - Tel.: 010 353 8998 - Fax: 010 3537651  
E-mail: [erika.coppo@unige.it](mailto:erika.coppo@unige.it)

agents for ESBL and AmpC-producing organisms; however, their widespread use in outbreaks and endemic regions of these organisms has led to increased rates of carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. (8).

A mechanism of carbapenem resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae* occurs by the production of  $\beta$ -lactamases that hydrolyse carbapenem compounds. These include the serine  $\beta$ -carbapenemase (KPC, Ambler class A enzymes), metallo  $\beta$ -lactamases (MBLs, Ambler class B) and extended spectrum oxacillinases (OXA, Ambler class D).

This survey was planned to identify the most frequent MDR species and to evaluate their antibiotic susceptibility patterns among Gram negative bacteria collected from clinical samples in Liguria. In addition, strains resistant to carbapenems (drugs of last resort against Gram negative) were characterized by PCR.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Bacterial isolates

This study was conducted during January 2010-April 2010 with the collaboration of 7 clinical microbiology laboratories evenly distributed across the Ligurian area. The enrolled Laboratories were: ASL 1 Imperiese, Imperia; ASL 3 San Carlo Hospital, Genoa-Voltri; Ente Ospedaliero Galliera Hospital, Genoa; International Evangelical Hospital, Genoa; Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa; ASL 4 Chiavarese, Genoa; ASL22, Santa Corona Hospital, Pietra Ligure (Savona).

Overall, 110 consecutive multi-resistance Gram negative isolates, belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family and other non-fermenting bacteria, were collected and sent to the co-ordinating laboratory (Sezione di Microbiologia del DISC, University of Genoa, Italy). Strains isolated from any type of specimen, from in- and out-patients were studied, with the exception of duplicate strains from the same patient. Participating laboratories also provided susceptibility data obtained by their routine method.

### Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Minimum inhibitory concentrations of imipenem were determined by the broth microdilution method suggested by Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) (12).

*Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 were used as quality controls strains.

### PCR amplification

For strains with reduced susceptibility to imipenem (MIC > 0.5 mg/L) molecular analysis were performed to detect genes responsible for resistance. DNA was extracted from isolates by boiling one

colony in 250  $\mu$ L of sterile ultrapure water for 10 minutes, followed by cooling in ice for 10 minutes and centrifugation for 1 min at 14,000 rpm. Supernatant were conserved at -20°C until amplification.

VIM, OXA-23 and KPC carbapenemases was identified by PCR as previously described (1, 3, 16).

## RESULTS

Table 1 summarises the complete list and the distribution of the pathogens collected in this study. A total of 110 Gram negative multi-resistance strains were found, including 74 and 36 isolated from healthcare settings or nosocomial-and community acquired infections, respectively.

Most represented pathogens were: *A. baumannii* (38, 34.5%), *E. coli* (30, 27.2%), *P. aeruginosa* (29, 26.3%), *K. pneumoniae* (9, 8.1%) and *P. mirabilis* (4, 3.6%). *A. baumannii* strains were more frequently isolated from healthcare settings or nosocomial patients.

Nosocomial samples were collected mainly from patients hospitalised in Intensive Care Unit (27%) (Table 2) and general medicine wards (21.6%), in 7 cases the samples were from patients living in healthcare settings (9.4%).

Bacterial isolates were obtained from urine (48, 43.6%), broncho-aspirate (27, 24.5%), sputum (11, 10%), skin wound (9, 8.2%), catheter urine (4, 3.6%), pharyngeal swab (3, 2.7%) and other sites (8, 7.3%).

The antibiotics susceptibility patterns of the strains collected from the various centres is displayed in Table 3.

Amikacin was the most active molecule against *E. coli* (96.7% of susceptible strains) followed by gentamicin (60% of susceptible strains), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (43.3% of susceptible strains) e amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (43.3%). The other antibiotics (piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime, third generation cephalosporins and ciprofloxacin) showed rates of resistance higher than 80%.

Colistin was the most active molecule against *A. baumannii* (100% of susceptible strains), followed by amikacin (63.1% of susceptible strains). The other molecules (gentamicin, piperacillin-tazobactam, third generation cephalosporins, ciprofloxacin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole) were not active (100% of resistant strains).

Tigecycline and colistin were the most active molecules against *K. pneumoniae* (100% susceptibility), followed by gentamicin (88.8% of susceptible strains). Amikacin, piperacillin-tazobactam, third generation cephalosporins, ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole were not active against *K. pneumoniae*.

All *P. mirabilis* strains were susceptible to amikacin, the other molecules (gentamicin, piperacillin-tazobactam, third generation cephalosporins, ciprofloxacin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ceftazidime and trimethoprim-sulfamethazole showed rate of resistance higher than 75%.

Colistin was the most effective molecule against *P. aeruginosa* (100% of susceptible strains), followed by amikacin (58.6% of susceptible strains). Gentamicin, piperacillin-tazobactam, third generation cephalosporins, ciprofloxacin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and trimethoprim-sulfamethazole showed rate of resistance higher than 45%. Imipenem was the most active compound against *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* (100% of susceptible

strains) 97.14% of *A. baumannii* was resistant to imipenem *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* showed rate of resistance respectively 88% and 34.4%.

Imipenem resistant *A. baumannii*, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* isolates carried OXA-23, KPC and VIM carbapenemases, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

This report described the epidemiology of different MDR bacteria carrying infections in Liguria and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns, evaluating samples from over 110 patients recruited by 7 hospitals.

The need for local, national or international surveillance to evaluate the rate of bacterial resistance to antibiotics, is generally suggested in order to choose the best drug in empiric therapy, and to gain information about the emerging pathogens and their identification, as well as evolution toward resistance to the more frequently used antimicrobials (5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 15).

Although often thought of as a problem for critically ill patients in acute care hospitals, MDR Gram negative bacilli are increasing being found outside of these circumstances. Patients in long term care facilities appear to be at risk for infection or colonization with multi-resistant Gram negative bacilli (13, 14).

*A. baumannii* was the most more frequent

**Table 1.** Distribution of the strains collected in this survey according to their origin

Strains	Number and origin			
	Nos-HC	Com	Tot	%
<i>A. baumannii</i>	36	2	38	34.5
<i>E. coli</i>	14	16	30	27.2
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	16	13	29	26.3
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	8	1	9	8.1
<i>P. mirabilis</i>	1	3	4	3.6
Total	75	35	110	
%	68.2	31.8		

Nos-HC, nosocomial- healthcare- acquired; Com, community-acquired, Tot, total

**Table 2.** Distribution of nosocomial strains according to the different clinical settings

Strains	Number of strains collected							
	MED	ICU	ID	NEU	PNE	HCS	OTHER	UNK
<i>A. baumannii</i> (36/74)	5	10	2	1		2	9	7
<i>E. coli</i> (14/74)	6	1	1		2	3		1
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (16/74)	5	5	1				2	3
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (8/74)		5		1		1		1
<i>P. mirabilis</i> (1/74)						1		
<b>Total</b>	16	21	4	2	2	7	11	12

Med, Medicine; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; ID, Infectious Diseases; Neu, Neurology; Pne, Pneumology; HCS, Healthcare setting; Unk, unknown.

**Table 3.** Percentages of susceptibility to major classes of antibiotics

	AMK	GM	AMC	PIP\TAZ	CAZ	III generation cephalosporins	CIP	SXT	COL	TIGE	IMI
<i>A. baumannii</i> (38/110)	63.1% (24/38)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/38)	nt (0/38)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/38)	100% (38/38)	nt (1/38)	2.6% (1/38)
<i>E. coli</i> (30/110)	96.7% (29/30)	60.00% (18/30)	43.3% (13/30)	20% (6/30)	13.30% (4/30)	13.30% (4/30)	0.00% (0/30)	43.3% (13/30)	nt (13/30)	nt (29/30)	96.7% (29/30)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (29/110)	58.6% (17/29)	6.8% (2/29)	48.3% (14/29)	41.4% (12/29)	nt (12/29)	34.5% (10/29)	3.40% (1/29)	17.2% (5/29)	100% (29/29)	nt (19/29)	65.5% (19/29)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (9/110)	0% (0/9)	88.8% (8/9)	nt (0/9)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/9)	100% (9/9)	100% (9/9)	22% (2/9)
<i>P. mirabilis</i> (4/110)	100% (4/4)	25% (1/4)	25% (1/4)	50% (2/4)	25% (1/4)	25% (1/4)	25% (1/4)	25% (1/4)	100% (4/4)	nt (4/4)	nt (4/4)

AMK: amikacin, GM: gentamicin, AMC: amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, PIP\TAZ: piperacillin/tazobactam, CAZ: ceftazidime, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethazole, COL: colistine, TIG: Tigeciclina, IMI: Imipenem.

pathogen isolated from ICU patients which accounted for 47.61% of all isolates collected in these wards, indicating the increasing importance of this species among infections diagnosed in this settings (2, 17). The 36 *A. baumannii* nosocomial isolates in this study showed high level of resistance to the great majority of the antibiotics, only 1 strain was found susceptible to imipenem and 24 strains were susceptible to amikacin. Only colistin was active against *A. baumannii* (100% of susceptible strains).

Finally, carbapenemase production in *A. baumannii*, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* represent an important resistance threat in our region.

## REFERENCES

1. Afzal-Shah M, Woodford N, Livermore DM. Characterization of OXA-25, OXA-26, and OXA-27, molecular class D beta-lactamases associated with carbapenem resistance in clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000; 45: 583-8.
2. Bonomo RA, Szabo D. Mechanisms of multidrug resistance in *Acinetobacter* species and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 43 (Suppl2) S49-S56.
3. Cagnacci S, Gualco L, Roveta S, et al. Bloodstream infections caused by multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing the carbapenem-hydrolysing VIM-1 metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase: first Italian outbreak. *JAC* 2008; 61: 296-300.
4. Choi SH, Lee JE, Park SJ, et al. Prevalence, microbiology and clinical characteristics of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacter* spp., *Serratia marcescens*, *Citrobacter freundii* and *Morganella morganii* in Korea. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007; 26: 557-61.
5. Felmingham D. The need for antimicrobial resistance surveillance. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002; 50 (suppl S1): 1-7.
6. Gastmeier P. Nosocomial infection surveillance and control policies. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2004; 17: 295-301.
7. Giamarellou H, Poulakou G. Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative infections: what are the treatment options? *Drugs* 2009; 69: 1879-901.
8. Go ES, Urban C, Burns J, et al. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of *Acinetobacter* infections sensitive only to polymixin B and sulbactam. *Lancet* 1994; 344: 1329-32.
9. Howard DH, Scott RD, Packard R, Jones DA. The global impact of drug resistance. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2003; 36 (Suppl 1): S4-10.
10. Jones RN, Masterson R. Determining the value of antimicrobial surveillance programs. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2001; 41: 171-5.
11. Magee JT, Heginbotham ML, Mason BW. Finding a strategy: the case for co-operative research on resistance epidemiology. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2005; 55: 628-33.
12. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard-eighth edition. CLSI document M07-A8. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, Pennsylvania, 2010.
13. O'Fallon E, Gautam S, D'Agata EMC. Colonization with multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: prolonged duration and frequent co-colonization. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 48: 1375-81.
14. O'Fallon E, Pop-Vicas A, D'Agata E. The emerging threat of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative organisms in long-term care facilities. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2009; 64: 138-41.
15. Peterson LR. Squeezing the antibiotic balloon: the impact of antimicrobial classes on emerging resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005; 11 (Suppl 5): 4-16.
16. Queenan AM, Bush K. Carbapenemases: the versatile beta-lactamases. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2007; 20: 440-58.
17. Urban C, Segal-Maurer S, Rallal J. Considerations in control and treatment of nosocomial infections due to multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 36: 1268-74.