



Evaluation of Ceftazidime-Avibactam resistance in clinical isolates of Enterobacterales from a large tertiary-care hospital, Italy, 2019-2023



POSTER No: P024

LUCA CALABRESE^{a,b}, EDWARD WILLISON^b, GIULIA CODDA^a, ELISA COSTA^{a,b}, VINCENZO DI PILATO^a, ANNA MARCHESE^{a,b}

^a Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy ^b Microbiology Unit, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Over the past decades, carbapenemase-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE), notably KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae*, have spread in health care facilities and have become a serious global threat. Among the new β-lactam/β-lactamase-inhibitors combinations, ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA) was the first approved for the treatment of infections caused KPC-producing strains. Despite its recent commercialization, an increasing number of cases of CZA-resistant (CZAr) CRE have been reported. The aim of this study is to investigate the molecular bases of CZA resistance in a collection of CRE of clinical origin collected at the San Martino Hospital (Genoa) from 2019 to 2023.

Materials and methods

Identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing were performed with Vitek MS MALDI-TOF and VITEK2 system, respectively. Carbapenemases production (KPC, VIM, NDM and OXA-48 like enzymes) was assessed with immunochromatographic assays and qualitative RT-PCR. Resistance to CZA and meropenem (MEM) was confirmed by reference broth microdilution method and MICs were interpreted according to EUCAST v13.0 clinical breakpoints. The *bla*_{KPC} gene copy-number was determined by relative RT-PCR. CZAr strains were subjected to WGS. **Results**

We studied 71 CZAr isolates (31 *K. pneumoniae*, 21 *E. cloacae cpx*, 2 *S. marcescens*, 5 *K. oxytoca*, 4 *E. coli* e 7 *C. freundii*, 1 *C. portucalensis*), of which 68% (48/71) tested positive for VIM, 18% (13/71) for KPC, 8% (6/71) for KPC and VIM and 6% (4/71) for NDM. In CZAr-KPC producing strains (13 *K. pneumoniae*), MIC values ranged from 16 to 128 mg/L for CZA and from 0,5 to 512 mg/L for MEM. Among these, 77% (10/13 *K. pneumoniae*) were resistant to MEM, while 23% (3/13) (3 *K. pneumoniae*) tested susceptible. According to RT-PCR, 69% (9/13) of KPC-producing isolates showed an increased *bla*_{KPC-3} with a non-functional

OmpK36 porin and 23% (3/13) carried a mutated bla_{KPC}, coding for KPC-49, KPC-66, KPC-109.

Discussion

Overall, present results showed that: i) in our setting, CZAr was largely associated with production of metallo-β-lactamase (mostly of VIM type); ii) among KPC-producing strains, CZAr was primarily associated with an increased *bla*_{KPC} copy number and to emergence of novel KPC variants, to a lesser extent. Present results highlight that overproduction of KPC could represent an important cause of CZAr. This genotype circumvents rapid molecular diagnostic tests, jeopardizing the inference of the putative resistance phenotype from rapid molecular tests and placement of appropriate antibiotic therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Carbapenemase-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE), notably KPCproducing *K. pneumoniae*, have spread in health care facilities and become a serious global threat. The new β -lactam/ β -lactamaseinhibitors combinations, ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA) was the first approved for the treatment of infections caused KPC-producing strains¹, but however the recent commercialization, an increasing number of cases of CZA-resistant (CZAr) CRE have been reported². This study investigate the molecular bases of CZA resistance in a clinical collection of CRE at the San Martino Hospital (Genoa) from 2019 to 2023.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

K. pneumoniae

The methodological approach empolyed in this study included:

- Vitek MS MALDI-TOF and VITEK2 system for strains identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing, respectively⁴;
- > Immunochromatographic assays and qualitative RT-PCR to detect carbapenemases⁴;
- > Broth microdilution method to confirm resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam and meropenem⁴;
- Quantitative RT-PCR to determine the bla_{kPC} gene copy-number³;
- > Sanger sequencing of PCR products to investigate novel bla_{KPC} allelic variants⁴;
- \succ Whole genome sequencing (WGS) to explore the genetic features of CZAr strains⁵;

RESULTS

1 STARTING CLUSTER COMPOSITION



2 DETECTION OF CARBAPENMASE



- 48/71 isolates (68%) tested positive for VIM
- 13/71 isolates (18%) tested positive for KPC
- 6/71 isolates (8%) tested positive for KPC and VIM
- 4/71 isolates (6%) tested positive for NDM



10

3 ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING

Focusing the study on CZAr KPC producing *K. pneumoniae* strains (n = 13), AST yielded MIC values ranging from 16 to 128 mg/L for CZA and from 0.5 to 512 mg/L for MEM. Among these:

- 10/13 isolates (77%) tested were resistant to MEM;
- 3/13 isolates (23%) tested was susceptible to MEM;

		Strain n°												
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
MIC (mg/L)	MEM	>256	512	256	128	256	512	>256	256	>256	>256	1	4	4
	CZA	64	64	32	16	16	16	16	16	16	32	32	32	256

VIM KPC KPC + VIM NDM 4 CZAr KPC-PRODUCING K. pneumoniae According to RT-PCR and WGS, different mechanisms accounted for CZAr in KPC-producing isolates: 9/13 isolates showed an increased bla_{KPC} copy number (mean fold 6.2 ± 2.5); 3/13 isolates produced mutated KPC enzymes, including KPC-49, KPC-66, KPC-109⁵; 1/13 strain produce a non-functional OmpK36⁶ porin and showed an increased classic KPC-3 copy number

CZA R + MERO R CZA R + MERO S
 KPC-66
 KPC-109
 KPC-49
 Increased blaKPC-3 copy number
 KPC-3 + non-functional OmpK36

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, present results show that:

- In our setting, resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam was largely associated with production of metallo-β-lactamase (mostly of VIM type);
- Among KPC-producing strains, CZAr was primarily associated with an increased bla_{KPC} copy number, leading to overproduction of KPC, and to emergence of novel KPC variants.
- Overproduction of KPC or emergence of novel KPC variants associated with CZAr represent a major concern, because this genotypes hinder an effective recognition and inference of the associated phenotypes by rapid molecular tests (e.g. LFIA, RT-PCR).
- Surveillance studies are critically important to monitor the emergence of new resistance features in CPE, thus avoid delays in the application of appropriate antibiotic therapy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Potter RF, D'Souza AW, Dantas G. The rapid spread of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Drug Resist Updat. 2016;29:30-46. doi:10.1016/j.drup.2016.09.002
- 2. Doi Y. Treatment Options for Carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative Bacterial Infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69(Suppl 7):S565-S575. doi:10.1093/cid/ciz830
- 3. Zhang P, Shi Q, Hu H, et al. Emergence of ceftazidime/avibactam resistance in carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in China. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020;26(1):124.e1-124.e4. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2019.08.020

Bonomo RA, Burd EM, Conly J, et al. Carbapenemase-Producing Organisms: A Global Scourge. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66(8):1290-1297. doi:10.1093/cid/cix893
 Carattoli A, Arcari G, Bibbolino G, et al. Evolutionary Trajectories toward Ceftazidime-Avibactam Resistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae Clinical Isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2021;65(10):e0057421. doi:10.1128/AAC.00574-21
 Hernández-Allés S, Benedí VJ, Martínez-Martínez L, et al. Development of resistance during antimicrobial therapy caused by insertion sequence interruption of porin genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1999;43(4):937-939. doi:10.1128/AAC.43.4.937

