The importance of sonographic evaluation of muscle depth and thickness prior to the ‘tiny percutaneous needle biopsy’
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Abstract

Biopsy of human skeletal muscle tissue is a widely used method in many research studies, where ‘the tiny percutaneous needle biopsy’ (TPNB) is one of the relatively simplest and safest procedures currently available. By using and contrasting ultrasound images of vastus lateralis of young and elderly subjects, this work highlights further the safety aspects of TPNB and stresses the importance of prior ultrasound evaluation of muscle depth and thickness in order to prevent wrong muscle group or tissue sampling in subsequent laboratory analyses.
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Needle biopsy of human skeletal muscle tissue is a widely used method in many research studies in order to investigate the cellular and molecular aspects of skeletal muscle under different conditions including ageing, exercise, or disease states. Particularly useful in this respect is the ‘tiny percutaneous needle biopsy’ (TPNB) that without compromising the quality of the myofibre samples has proved to be a less invasive, easier and a safer procedure than the traditional conchotome, or the needle aspiration biopsy (see for more details Pietrangelo et al.). However, to identify and interpret the cellular and molecular aspects of the muscle tissue of interest at best, good quality muscle biopsy specimens ought to be obtained before any subsequent laboratory analyses.

Fig 1. Ultrasound images of vastus lateralis, of a 32 years-old male subjects without any acute or chronic muscular disease (BMI: 23.1 Kg/m², estimated Fat-Free Mass from bioimpedance: 80%); A - first day after biopsy, B - fourth day after biopsy; images from “Kanchenjunga Exploration & Physiology” research project
Sonography and tiny percutaneous needle muscle biopsy
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Sonographic guidance for the prior assessment of muscle thickness (MT) or site of sampling has been already recommended previously; however, it is still too rarely performed. This work intends to further highlight the importance of ultrasound evaluation of muscle depth (MD) and MT prior to muscle biopsy collection and reports on the potential risks of obtaining different or inadequate muscle biopsy samples.

The ultrasound (US) images reported in this paper were acquired using longitudinal B-mode US scanning of the VL at the distal one-third of the length between the greater trochanter and the patellar top border, acquired with a 5-cm, 3-11 MHz linear array probe (Mylab Gamma, Esaote Biomedica, Genova, Italy). MT of VL was marked by either a black or white arrow (depending on the echogenicity of muscles) as a distance from superficial to deep aponeurosis. The aponeuroses appear as brightly, whitish-silver colour, echogenic linear structures on the US images. MD is marked by a vertical yellow arrow.

Figure 1 shows two US images of VL of 32 years old male taken at 1st and 4th day after TPNB. A clear needle trace and the site of biopsy is visible in both images. As the figure shows, there is no evidence of oedema in both images, and the needle trace seems to be visibly reduced on the day 4th (panel B) compared to the day 1st (panel A), suggesting a rapid and successful muscle wound healing process. Although TPNB is classed as a minor surgical procedure, the acute muscle damage is very minimal, usually resulting only in slight soreness or discomfort lasting max. 1-3 days. Therefore, healthcare providers should recommend restricting any intense physical activity for 24-to-48 h post-biopsy. This makes the TPNB as one of the safest and the least invasive muscle biopsy technique currently available.

By using longitudinal ultrasound images of the vastus lateralis (VL) muscle obtained from three male subjects who participated in a recent research project “Kanchenjunga Exploration & Physiology”, where one of the research methods included muscle biopsy from (VL) using the TPNB technique (Fig 2), we could demonstrate the importance of performing a sonographic evaluation of MD and MT before taking muscle biopsy samples. Instead, Fig shows two US images of VL of the other two male subjects who participated in the study, detailing different muscle morphology. As may be observed in these images, each subject exhibited different MT (~2 cm vs. nearly 3 cm), which resulted in the biopsy specimens being taken from slightly different sites. The biopsy specimens from the first subject (48 years old) were taken at the border of the deep aponeurosis (panel A), whereas the biopsy samples from the second subject (25 years old) were taken from the middle of the VL.
muscle (panel B). Such findings are of laboratory importance as by taking the aponeurosis specimens together with the muscle any subsequent laboratory analyses could be affected, likely leading to some ‘skewed’ conclusions. In the previous subject, the biopsy samples were also taken near the deep aponeurosis (see Fig 1). It is, therefore, fundamental that prior US evaluation of MT and MD is performed. Fig 3 details also the biopsy site (orange circles in the images) after 7 weeks of TPNB with no visible needle traces, suggesting successful regeneration of muscle tissue without the formation of a connective tissue scar.

Our findings are particularly important when considering the studies involving elderly individuals. Currently, many researchers are interested in identifying the exact cellular and molecular mechanisms behind sarcopenia using muscle biopsy samples in their laboratory investigations.9-11

With this respect, TPNB seems to be the most suitable muscle biopsy technique for the elderly. However, one should also recognise the fact that the elderly population is very heterogeneous, ranging from healthy active individuals to obese or sarcopenic; thus, it is of crucial importance to evaluate their muscles beforehand. For illustration, we present a selection of US images, detailing the heterogeneity in muscle morphology in the elderly population.

Fig 4 shows US images of VL of two sarcopenic and Fig 5 of two healthy active elderly individuals. As seen from the images, the MD and MT are very different in all the elderly individuals. In terms of the sarcopenic ones, the female’s VL is about 4-5 cm in-depth, under a prominent ~3cm layer of subcutaneous tissue (Fig 4, A), whereas...
the sarcopenic male (panel B) has virtually no subcutaneous tissue and his VL is no more than 2cm in-depth. A similar trend, however not so prominent, is visible in the healthy active female and male individuals, where the female has a small layer of subcutaneous tissue and smaller MT (Fig 5, A) than the male (panel B). Thus, extra caution must be taken before obtaining muscle biopsy samples from this population in order to prevent the wrong muscle group or tissue sampling. In fact, US has already been proposed as a useful tool for clinicians as a non-invasive, inexpensive and objective measurement of muscle mass and architecture in geriatrics,\textsuperscript{12,13} and it can also serve as a highly useful prior mean in the assistance to optimal muscle needle biopsies. These observations seem to warrant the use of the TPNB as one of the safest and minimally invasive biopsy techniques currently available. In addition, prior evaluation of MD and MT using ultrasound seems a highly useful strategy for obtaining good quality muscle biopsy tissue while using a minimally invasive surgical approach, avoiding the risk of having incorrect muscle biopsy specimens.
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