

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Italian adaptation to Regulation (EU) 2017/625 on food official controls: a case study

Camilla Lugli,^{1*} Marta Cecchini,^{2*} Domenico Maione,³ Filomena Marseglia,³ Tommaso Filippini,^{2,4} Marco Vinceti,^{2,5} Elena Righi,¹ Lucia Palandri,^{1,6} Daniela De Vita³

¹Section of Public Health, Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy; ²CREAGEN - Environmental, Genetic and Nutritional Epidemiology Research Center, Section of Public Health, Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy; ³Food Hygiene and Nutrition Service, Public Health Department, AUSL - IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Italy; ⁴School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA; ⁵Department of Epidemiology, Boston University School of Public Health, MA, USA; ⁶Clinical and Experimental Medicine PhD Program, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

*Contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Camilla Lugli, Section of Public Health, Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Campi 287, 41125 Modena, Italy.


Tel.: +39 059 205 5478

Fax: +39 059 205 5483

E-mail: camilla.lugli@unimore.it

Key words: dispute, food safety, food law, official controls, second expert opinion.

Supplementary Figure 1. Gantt diagram model; aid tool in the management of non-compliances.



Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

NON-COMPLIANCE MANAGEMENT

FSO name:		Today Date:		
Matrix:		Date of analysis reception:	<div style="border: 1px solid red; width: 100px; height: 20px;"></div>	
Date of sampling:		Week:	1	
ACTIVITY	PROGRESS	TIMINGS (days)	START	END
Sampling				
Outcome communication to FSO	0%	1		
Alert				
Rapid alert (IRASFF notification)	0%	2		
Second expert opinion				
FSO requests access to records	0%	15		
CA requests analysis documents to laboratory	0%	1		
CA provides requested documents	0%	1		
FSO provides its second expert opinion	0%	<div style="border: 1px solid red; width: 100px; height: 20px;"></div> 30		
CA communicates evaluation outcome	0%	1		
Dispute				
FSO requests dispute	0%	30		
CA forwards documentation to INIH	0%	1		
INIH communicates its evaluation	0%	30		
Fso request INIH's laboratory analysis	0%	30		
INIH communicates its laboratory analysis outcome	0%	60		
CA communicates evaluation outcome to FSO	0%	60		