

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

DOI: 10.4081/ijfs.2024.12438

**Microbiological safety of dry-aged meat:  
a critical review of data gaps and research needs to define process hygiene and safety  
criteria**

Federica Savini,<sup>1</sup> Valentina Indio,<sup>1</sup> Federica Giacometti,<sup>2</sup> Yitagele Terefe Mekkonen,<sup>1</sup>  
Alessandra De Cesare,<sup>1</sup> Laura Prandini,<sup>1</sup> Raffaele Marrone,<sup>3</sup> Alessandro Seguino,<sup>1</sup>  
Marika Di Paolo,<sup>3</sup> Valeria Vuoso,<sup>3</sup> Federico Tomasello,<sup>1</sup> Andrea Serraino<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Ozzano dell'Emilia; <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Medicine, Production and Health, University of Padova, Legnaro; <sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production, University of Naples "Federico II", Italy

**Correspondence:** Valentina Indio, Department of Veterinary Medical Science, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064 Ozzano dell'Emilia (BO), Italy.

E-mail: [valentina.indio2@unibo.it](mailto:valentina.indio2@unibo.it)

**Key words:** dry-aged meat; spoilage microorganism; pathogenic microorganism; microbiological criteria, moulds.

---

**Supplementary Table 1. Data of total bacteria count, *Enterobacteriaceae* and coliforms including *Escherichia coli* retrieved from the literature and dry-aging duration in days, relative humidity in %, air ventilation in m/sec, meat type, time points, type of sampling, pH, and water activity.**

Ref.	Days	RH (%)	Vent. (m/s)	T (°C)	Meat type	Time-point	Type of sampling	pH	aw	TBC	<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>	<i>Coliforms/E. coli</i>
Smaldone <i>et al.</i> (2019)	290	68-70	NA	0	Sirloin steak muscle	T0, Tend	Steaks	<b>T0</b> 5.7 ¥ <b>Tend</b> 5.98 ¥	<b>T0</b> 0.965 ¥ <b>Tend</b> 0.955 ¥	<b>T0</b> 6.82 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 6.13 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> 2.58 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 2.08 log CFU/g	NA
Lee <i>et al.</i> (2017)	28	85	5+/-3	1+/-1	Sirloin	T0, Tend	T0, Tend	<b>T0</b> 5.48	-	<b>T0:</b> 5.60 log CFU/g <b>Tend:</b> 6.58 CFU/g	-	< 10 CFU/g
Lee <i>et al.</i> (2017)	28	75	-	2+/-1	Sirloin	T0, Tend	T0, Tend	<b>T0</b> 5.48	.	<b>T0:</b> 5.60 log CFU/g <b>Tend:</b> 7.01 CFU/g	-	< 10 CFU/g
Bernardo <i>et al.</i> (2021)	21	65	2.5	2	Loin	T0, Tend	Internal (I)	<b>T0</b> 5.39 <b>Tend</b> 5.51	<b>T0</b> 0.993 <b>Tend</b> I 0.9887 S 0.9730	<b>T0</b> 1.6 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 2.65 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> <1.1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> <1 log CFU/g	-
							Surface (S)					
Bernardo <i>et al.</i> (2021)	21	85	-	-	-	-	I	<b>T0</b> 5.39 <b>Tend</b> 5.51	<b>T0</b> 0.993 <b>Tend</b> I 0.9887 S 0.9730	<b>T0</b> 1.6 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 5.26 log CFU/g	<b>T0:</b> <1.1 log CFU/g <b>Tend:</b> 3.42 log CFU/g	-
							S					
Hulánkov <i>á et al.</i> (2018)	12-36	85	0.5+/-0.2	1+/-1	Quarter (loin without bone)	T0, Tend	S	<b>T0</b> 5.68-5.53-5.60-5.67 <b>Tend</b> 5.68-5.60-5.62-5.68	-	<b>T0</b> 2.59 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> >5 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>T0</b> < 10 cfu/g <b>Tend</b> < 10 cfu/g	-
							I					
Li <i>et al.</i> (2014)	21	75	NA	5.1	<i>Longissimus thoracis et lumborum</i> section	T1 (8 d) T2 (19 d)	Subcutaneous fat (F) and lean surface (M)	<b>T1</b> 5.58 <b>T2</b> 5.63	-	<b>T1</b> F 5.22 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 6.39 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>T2</b> F 6.91 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 8.75 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>T1</b> F 0.78 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 2.09 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>T2</b> F 2.10 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 5.35 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

Di Paolo <i>et al.</i> (2023)	60	78+/-10	0.5	1+/-1	Ribeye steaks	T0, T1 (15 d), T2 (30 d), Tend	Steaks	<b>T0</b> 5.70, <b>T1</b> 5.64, <b>T2</b> 5.66, <b>Tend</b> 5.72	<b>T0</b> 0.982, <b>T1</b> 0.973, <b>T2</b> 0.980, <b>Tend</b> 0.976	<b>T0</b> 4.10 log CFU/g, <b>T1</b> 5.45 log CFU/g, <b>T2</b> 5.31 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 5.38 log CFU/g	<b>T0:</b> 1.78 log CFU/g, <b>T1</b> 2.28 log CFU/g, <b>T2</b> 1.73 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 1.92 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> 3.08 log CFU/g, <b>T1:</b> 3.52 log CFU/g, <b>T2</b> 1.75 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 3.93 log CFU/g
DeGeer <i>et al.</i> (2009)	21- 28	50	NA	2.2	Loin	T0, Tend	Subcutaneous fat and lean surface	Shell loin (SH) 5.51 Strip loin (ST) 5.49 <b>21d</b> 5.49, <b>28 d</b> 5.49	-	<b>T0</b> SH 2.89 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , SL3.16 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>Tend</b> SH 3.51 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , ST2.89 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-	<b>T0</b> SH <10 cfu/cm <sup>2</sup> , ST 0.88 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>Tend</b> SH <10 cfu/cm <sup>2</sup> , ST -0.39 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>
Ahntstör m <i>et al.</i> (2006)	14-21	87+/-2.6	NA	1.6+/-0.4	Strip loin	T0, Tend	Subcutaneous fat (F) and lean surface (M)	<b>T0</b> 5.4+/-0.1 <b>Tend.</b> 14 d 5.5. 21 d 5.7	-	<b>T0</b> <2.5 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>Tend</b> F 14 d 4.3 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , 21 d 4.7 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 14 d 5.1 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , 21 d 4.3 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-	-
Khazzar <i>et al.</i> (2023)	30	75	0.5	3+/-0.5	Boneless strip loin	T0, T1 (7 d), Tend	Meat	<b>T0</b> 5.63, <b>T1</b> 5.54, <b>Tend</b> 5.60.	<b>T1</b> 0.99. <b>Tend</b> 0.98	<b>T1</b> 2.5 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 4.5 log CFU/g	<b>T1</b> 1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 1.9 log CFU/g	-
Mikami <i>et al.</i> (2021)	35	90	1.8-2.5	2.9	Rump	Tend	Crust (C) and inner part (I)	5.62	-	C 4.64 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , I 5.32 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-	C 3.10 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> I 3.64 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>
Ryu <i>et al.</i> (2018)	60	80-90	NA	1-4	<i>Longissimus thoracis</i> section <i>Biceps femoris</i> section	T0, T1 (25 d), T2 (40 d), T3 (50 d), Tend	Surface	-	-	<b>T0</b> ~ 2.5 CFU/g ¥ <b>Tend:</b> ~ 5.0 CFU/g ¥	-	< 10 CFU/g
Cambell <i>et al.</i> (2001)	21	75	NA	2	Short loin and strip loin	T0, T1 (7 d), T2 (14 d), Tend	Surface	-	-	<b>T0</b> 1.4 log <sub>10</sub> , <b>T1</b> 3.3 log <sub>10</sub> , <b>T2</b> 3.9 log <sub>10</sub> , <b>Tend</b> 3.3 log <sub>10</sub>	-	-
Gowda <i>et al.</i> (2022)	21-70	40-75	NA	-1 a 3	Bone-in. mainly loins	T0, Tend	Surface and internal	<b>Tend</b> (steaks): 5.73	<b>Tend</b> (steaks): 0.98-0.99	<b>Loin</b> 4.1 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> § <b>Steak</b> 3.4 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> §	<b>T0</b> < 6.4 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> < 7.4 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-

Lancaster <i>et al.</i> (2022)	45	64.8792.21	0.56-2.03	0.74-5.26	Bone-in strip loin	Tend	Surface (swab)	5.61 to 5.73	0.98 to 0.99	0.18 CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> to 4.00 CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-	0.59 to 4 CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>
Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (2022)	42	75 and 85	NA	2-6	Loin	T0, Tend	Superficial adipose tissue (F) and lean meat (M)	<b>T0</b> 5.50 <b>Tend:</b> 5.77	-	<b>T0</b> F 2.9 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 2.3 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend.</b> F 5.1 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 2.5 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>T0</b> F <0 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M <0 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> F 1.6 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , M 0.4 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-
Berger <i>et al.</i> (2018)	28	78	< 0.2	2	Bone-in loin	T0, T1 (21 d), Tend	Steak (internal)	<b>T0</b> 5.89 <b>Tend</b> 5.79	-	<b>T1</b> 4.046 log CFU/ml	-	-
Shi <i>et al.</i> (2020)	14	85	1.5	2	<i>Longissimus thoracis</i> muscle	T0, T1 (7 d), Tend	Inner part	<b>T0</b> 5.41, <b>T1</b> 5.58, <b>Tend</b> 5.61	-	<b>T0</b> 4.62 log CFU/g, <b>T1</b> 7.21 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 7.53 log CFU/g	-	-

\*before trimming; \*\*after trimming; TBC, total bacterial count; RH, relative humidity; Vent, air ventilation; aw, water activity; ¥, data have been retrieved from the figures; §, data have been retrieved from the supplementary material.

**Supplementary Table 2. Data on psychrotrophic bacteria, lactic acid bacteria, and *Pseudomonas* spp. retrieved from the literature and dry-aging duration in days, relative humidity in %, air ventilation in m/sec, meat type, time points, type of sampling, pH, and water activity.**

Ref.	Days	RH (%)	Vent. (m/s)	T (°C)	Meat type	Time-point	Type of sampling	pH	aw	Psychrotrophic bacteria	Lactic acid bacteria	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.
Hulánková <i>et al.</i> (2018)	12-36	85	0.5+/-0.2	1+/-1	Quarter (sample: loin without bone)	T0, Tend	Surface (S) Internal (I)	<b>T0</b> 5.68-5.53-5.60-5.67 <b>Tend</b> 5.68-5.60-5.62-5.68	-	<b>T0</b> 2.47 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> ¥ <b>Tend</b> ~5 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> ¥ <b>T0</b> 2.13 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> ~4.5 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> 1.04 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> ¥ <b>Tend</b> ~1.5 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> ¥ <b>T0</b> 0.85 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> ~1.5 log CFU/g	<b>T0:</b> < limit of detection; <b>Tend:</b> < limit of detection
Di Paolo <i>et al.</i> (2023)	60	78+/-10	0.5	1+/-1	Ribeye steaks	T0, T1 (15 d), T2 (30 d), Tend	Steaks	<b>T0</b> 5.70, <b>T1</b> 5.64, <b>T2</b> 5.66, <b>Tend</b> 5.72	<b>T0</b> 0.982 <b>T1</b> 0.973 <b>T2</b> 0.980 <b>Tend</b> 0.976	<b>T0</b> 2.27 log CFU/g, <b>T1</b> 4.33 log CFU/g, <b>T2</b> 3.29 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 3.58 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> 2.41 log CFU/g, <b>T1</b> 3.00 log CFU/g, <b>T2:</b> 2.94 log CFU/g, <b>Tend:</b> 3.23 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> 2.06 log CFU/g, <b>T1:</b> 3.89 log CFU/g, <b>T2:</b> 2.65 log CFU/g, <b>Tend:</b> 3.18 log CFU/g
Cambell <i>et al.</i> (2001)	21	75	-	2	Short loin and strip loin	T0, T1 (7d), T2 (14d), Tend	S	-	-	-	<b>T0:</b> 1.4 log <sub>10</sub> ; <b>T1:</b> 1.4 log <sub>10</sub> ; <b>T2:</b> 1.5 log <sub>10</sub> ; <b>Tend:</b> 2.0 log <sub>10</sub>	<b>T0</b> 2.8 log <sub>10</sub> , <b>T1</b> 3.5 log <sub>10</sub> , <b>T2</b> 5.3 log <sub>10</sub> , <b>Tend</b> 3.3 log <sub>10</sub>
Ryu <i>et al.</i> (2018)	60	80-90	-	1-4	<i>Longissimus thoracis</i> section	T0, T1(25d), T2(40 d), T3 (50 d), Tend	S	NA	NA	-	<b>T0</b> ~ 0 CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> ~ 6.0 CFU/g ¥	-
Khazzar <i>et al.</i> (2023)	30	75	0.5	3+/-0.5	Boneless strip loin	T0, T1 (7d), Tend	Meat	<b>T0</b> 5.63, <b>T1</b> 5.54, <b>Tend</b> 5.60	<b>T1</b> 0.99 <b>Tend</b> 0.98	-	<b>T1</b> 0.2 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 0.4 log CFU/g	<b>T1</b> 0.9 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 1.8 log CFU/g
DeGeer <i>et al.</i> (2009)	21-28	50	-	2.2	Loin	T0, Tend	Subcutaneous fat and lean surface	Shell loin ( <b>SH</b> ) 5.51, strip loin ( <b>ST</b> ) 5.49, <b>21 and 28 days</b> (mean) 5.49	NA	-	<b>T0</b> SH 0.47 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , ST 0.06 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>Tend</b> SH 1.34 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , ST 1.10 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-
Li <i>et al.</i> (2013)	14	91	-	2.9	Muscle <i>gluteus medius</i>	T0, T1 (14 d*, Tend (14 d**))	S	<b>T0</b> 5.57 <b>T1</b> 5.62	NA	-	<b>T0</b> 0.01 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>T1</b> 0.4 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , <b>T2</b> 0.3 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-
Ahntstöröm <i>et al.</i> (2006)	14-21	87+/-2.6	-	2.6+/-0.4	Strip loin	T0, Tend	Subcutaneous fat and lean surface	<b>T0</b> 5.4+/-0.1 <b>Tend</b> 14 d 5.5, 21 d 5.7	NA	-	<b>T0</b> <3 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> 14 d 5.5 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> 21 d 2.7 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-
Da Silva Bernardo <i>et al.</i> (2021)	21	65	2.5	2	Loin	T0, Tend	I S	<b>T0</b> 5.39 +/- 0.01 <b>Tend</b> 5.51 +/- 0.01	<b>T0</b> 0.993 <b>Tend</b> I 0.9887, S 0.9730	<b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 6.22 log CFU/g <b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 2.88 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend:</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> <1 log CFU/g	- -

	21	85	2.5	2	Loin	T0, Tend	I	<b>T0</b> 5.39 +/- 0.01 <b>Tend</b> 5.51 +/- 0.01	<b>T0</b> 0.993 <b>Tend</b> 1 0.9887 S 0.9730	<b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 7.21 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 5.07 log CFU/g	-
							S			<b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 10.55 log CFU/g	<b>T0</b> <1 log CFU/g <b>Tend</b> 8.15 log CFU/g	-
Gowda <i>et al.</i> (2022)	21-70	40-75	NA	-1 + 3	Bone-in. mainly loins	T0, Tend	S and I	<b>T1</b> (steaks) 5.73	<b>T1</b> (steaks): 0.98-0.99	<b>T0</b> 1.6-8.8 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> < 1-7.4 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>T0</b> <1-7.3 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> < 1-5.0 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>T0</b> < 1-8.8 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> < 1-5.0 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>
Van Damme <i>et al.</i> (2022)	42	75 85	NA	2 6	Loin	T0, Tend	Superficial adipose tissue (AT) and lean meat (LM)	<b>T0</b> 5.50 <b>Tend</b> 5.77	-	-	<b>T0</b> AT 1.2 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , LM <1 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> AT 1.5 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> , LM 1.9 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>T0</b> AT 2.1 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> ; LM <1 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>Tend</b> . AT 4 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> . LM <1 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>
Berger <i>et al.</i> (2018)	28	78	< 0.2	2	Bone-in loin	T0, T1 (21 d), Tend	Steak (I)	<b>T0</b> 5.89 <b>Tend</b> 5.79	-	-	<b>T1</b> 3.497 log CFU/ml	-
Shi <i>et al.</i> (2020)	14	85	1.5	2	<i>Longissimus thoracis</i> muscle	T0, T1 (7d), Tend	Inner part	<b>T0</b> 5.41 <b>T1</b> 5.58. <b>Tend</b> 5.61	-	-	<b>T0</b> 3.59 log CFU/g, <b>T1</b> 5.73 log CFU/g, <b>Tend</b> 5.29 log CFU/g	-
Mikami <i>et al.</i> (2021)	35	90	1.8-2.5	2.9	Rump	Tend	Crust (C) and inner part (I)	5.62	-	-	<b>C</b> <30 CFU/cm <sup>2</sup> <b>I</b> 2.03 log CFU/cm <sup>2</sup>	-

\*before trimming; \*\* after trimming; RH, relative humidity; Vent, air ventilation; aw, water activity; ¥, data have been retrieved from the figures.