Evolution and antimicrobial resistance of enterococci isolated from Pecorino and goat cheese manufactured on-farm in an area facing constraints as per EU Regulation 1305/2013 in Umbria, Italy

Luca Grispoldi,¹ Musafiri Karama,² Saeed El-Ashram,^{3,4} Cristina Saraiva,^{5,6} Juan García-Díez,⁵ Athanasios Chalias,⁷ Beniamino Cenci-Goga^{1,2}

¹Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Italy; ²Faculty of Veterinary Science, **Department of Paraclinical Sciences**, University of Pretoria, South Africa; ³School of Life Science and Engineering, Foshan University, Foshan Guangdong, China; ⁴Faculty of Science, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr el-Sheikh, Egypt; 5Veterinary and Animal Research Centre, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal; ⁶Department of Veterinary Sciences, School of Agrarian and Veterinary Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal; ⁷European Food Safety Authority, EU-FORA Programme, Parma, Italy

Abstract

The latest EU regulation on geographical indications (EU Regulation No. 1151/2012) has introduced a set of new tools for the protection and enhancement of food products in rural areas, under the group name of optional quality term (OQT). The Commission Delegated EU Regulation, No. 665/2014, regulated the conditions for the use of the optional quality term mountain product (MP), to support the implementation of a mountain value chain. This new tool is aimed at promoting local development, maintaining the economic activities in mountain areas, and redistributing wealth, whilst, at the same time, promoting the territory. Pecorino and goat cheeses are typical Italian cheeses made usually with whole raw ewe's or raw goat's milk, without starter culture addition. In an attempt to characterize these productions, the aim of this study was to investigate the evolution of enterococci during the production and ripening of Pecorino cheese made in three different farms, located in Umbria, Italy in areas facing natural or other specific constraints as stipulated by Regulation 1305/2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD). Enterococci are enteric organisms which are commonly isolated from ewe and goat's milk production in Umbria, Italy. Counts of enterococci in raw milk ranged from 1.75 for ovine milk to 3.62 for ewe milk and a marked reduction was observed after thermization especially in ovine milk. Out of 100 isolates, 69 were E. faecium, 23 E. durans, 8 E. faecalis and 2 E. casseliflavus and the distribution of species between farms and between samples showed a prevalence of E. faecium in ovine farms and E. durans in ewes farms, with an equal dis-tribution between samples. High percentages of susceptible isolates were found for amoxicil-lin/clavulanic acid, ampicillin, chloramphenicol, sulphamethoxazole. sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim, ticarcillin, vancomycin. A high prevalence of resistant strains (>30%) was ob-served for amikacin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, kanamycin, tetracycline. A comparison of this re-sults with those of previous works on similar dairy products revealed high levels of resistance to antimicrobials which needs to be addressed.

Introduction

One of the roles the EU covers is that of creating an equilibrium amongst the dimensions of governance, embedding, and marketing, in order to define policies and strategies to manage productive activities. One such activity is to define strategic choices for the promotion of European foodstuffs. In this context, the latest EU regulation on geographical indications (EU Regulation No. 1151/2012) has introduced a set of new tools for the protection and enhancement of food products in rural areas, under the group name of optional quality term (OOT). The Commission Delegated EU Regulation, No. 665/2014, regulated the conditions for the use of the optional quality term «mountain product» (MP), to support the implementation of a mountain value chain. This new tool aimed at promoting local development, maintaining the economic activities in mountain areas and redistributing wealth, whilst, at the same time, promoting the territory (Bonadonna et al. 2017). Umbria, Italy is a region with several areas facing natural or other specific constraints as stipulated by Regulation 1305/2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD).

pagepress

Correspondence: Luca Grispoldi, Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, Università di Perugia, via San Costanzo 4, 06121 Perugia, Italy. Tel.: +39.075.5857973. E-mail: grisluca@outlook.it.

Key words: Enterococcus, QPS, GRAS, Safety, Milk, Cheese.

Contributions: The authors contributed equally.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments: The authors express sincere appreciation to members of Polyglot, Perugia, Italy, for a careful reading and comments on the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by EFSA, European Food Safety Authority, grant number GP/EFSA/ENCO/2018/05_ GA6.

Availability of data and material: Data and materials are available by the authors.

Received for publication: 31 August 2021. Accepted for publication: 4 April 2022.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2022 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Italian Journal of Food Safety 2022; 11:10070 doi:10.4081/ijfs.2022.10070

Publisher's note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher; the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher:

cheeses produced in these areas, made usually with whole raw ewe's or raw goat's milk, without starter culture addition. Thus, only bacteria from milk contribute to ripening changes in the cheese (Clementi *et al.*, 1998b). Enterococci represent the predominant microbiota of these productions (Cenci-Goga *et al.*, 1995; Clementi *et al.*, 1998b; Serio *et al.*, 2007; Serio *et al.*, 2010; Litopoulou-Tzanetaki and Tzanetakis, 2011; Russo *et al.*, 2018).

Enterococci are gram-positive bacteria and may fit within the general definition of lactic acid bacteria. With regard to safety and according to the Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) list from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/topic /qps), *Enterococcus* species are neither rec-

Pecorino and goat cheeses are typical



ommended for the QPS list (Hazards, 2017) nor have GRAS (Generally Regarded As Safe) status (Huys et al., 2013), in spite of recent scientific knowledge allowing differentiation of commensal from pathogenic strains (Hanchi et al., 2018; Graham et al., 2020; Dapkevicius et al., 2021). Modern classification techniques resulted, back in 1980s, in the transfer of some members of the genus Streptococcus, notably some of the Lancefield's group D streptococci, to the new genus Enterococcus (Schleifer and Kilpper-Bälz, 1984). Enterococci can be used as indicators of faecal contamination and have been implicated in outbreaks of foodborne illness. On the other hand, they have been ascribed a beneficial or detrimental role in foods (Hanchi et al., 2018; Graham et al., 2020; Dapkevicius et al., 2021). In processed meats, enterococci may survive heat processing and cause spoilage, though in certain cheeses the growth of enterococci contributes to ripening and development of product flavour (Clementi et al., 1998b; Dapkevicius et al., 2021). Some enterococci of food origin produce bacteriocins that exert anti-Listeria activity (Franz et al., 2007). Enterococci are used as probiotics to improve the microbial balance of the intestine, or as a treatment for gastroenteritis in humans and animals (Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; Hanchi et al., 2018; Graham et al., 2020; Grispoldi et al., 2020). On the other hand, enterococci have become recognized as serious nosocomial pathogens causing bacteraemia, endocarditis, urinary tract and other infections. This is in part explained by the resistance of some of these bacteria to most antibiotics that are currently in use (Dapkevicius et al., 2021). Resistance is acquired by gene transfer systems, such as conjugative or nonconjugative plasmids or transposons. It appears that foods could be a source of vancomycinresistant enterococci (Cenci-Goga et al., 2004; Werner et al., 2013; Graham et al., 2020).

Regardless these considerations Enterococci are commonly found in milk and cheese (Tsanasidou et al., 2021) and there are two divergent opinions about the presence of enterococci in cheese. One is that enterococci should be considered more suitable than other groups commonly used as indicators of unhygienic procedures in food processing and handling (e.g. Enterobacteriaceae). This is related to their high heat resistance and salt tolerance (Sojeong et al., 2019). The other opinion is that enterococci have a possible contribution to the ripening of cheese due to their lipolytic, proteolytic and caseinolytic activities (Marilley and Casey, 2004). Moreover it has been suggested that enterococci con-

tribute to flavour due to the attitude of produce acetoin, diacetyl and acetaldehyde (Marilley and Casey, 2004).

In an attempt to characterize cheese production from a mountain area in Umbria, Italy, and define safety aspects, the aim of this work was to investigate the evolution of enterococci during the production and ripening of Pecorino cheese made in an area facing natural and specific constraints as per EU Regulation 1305/2013, with two different cheesemaking processes from sheep and ewe milk and characterize Enterococcus spp. isolates for antibiotic susceptibility all along the cheesemaking and ripening process.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The three farms are located in Umbria, Italy in an area that extends from latitude 42.977715°N to 43.227086°N and from longitude 12.141079°E to 12.310128°E (Figure 1). The area, partly hilly and mountainous and partly flat and fertile owing to the valley of the Tiber River, is separated

from the central Apennines by the Tiber Valley ("Val Tiberina"). The farms are located in areas facing natural or other specific constraints as stipulated by Regulation 1305/2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD). For the three farms, according to the cited Commission Delegated EU Regulation, No. 665/2014, most of the conditions for the use of the optional quality term «mountain product» (MP), to support the implementation of a mountain value chain, are present and might be the base for a regional development for rural areas. These conditions are stipulated by Regulation 1305/2013, article 32: mountain areas or areas, other than mountain areas, facing significant natural constraints. These are areas characterized by a considerable limitation of the possibilities for using the land and by an appreciable increase in production costs due to the existence, because of altitude, of very difficult climatic conditions, the effect of which is to substantially shorten the growing season. At a lower altitude, the presence over the greater part of the area in question of slopes too steep for the use of machinery or requiring the use of very expensive special equip-



Figure 1. Geographical position of the three farms located in Umbria, Italy.

ment, or a combination of these two factors, where the constraints resulting from each taken separately are less acute but the combination of the two gives rise to an equivalent constraint.

General

The experiment was carried out on three farms in Umbria, farm A, has 500 Sardinian ewes, farm B has 200 Sardinian ewes, farm C has 80 Saanen goats. In farm A and B milk is collected with a milking machine and automatically filtered before the cheesemaking. In farm C goats are hand milked and the milk is filtered through a linen cloth.

Milk from each farm was collected, analysed, and subsequently used to produce Pecorino or goat cheese. On each farm two different cheesemaking processes were carried out from raw milk and from heat-treated milk to which the autochthonous cultures were added as a starter. Bacterial strains in the formulation used for all cheesemaking in this work were: Lactococcus lactis ssp. lactis, reference strain n. 340; L. lactis ssp. lactis, strain n. 16; Lactobacillus casei ssp. casei, strain n. 208. This formulation was used for all. The morphological, biochemical and physiological characterization, the growth curves at several temperatures, including refrigeration conditions, the acidifying activity and their ability to improve palatability of cheeses have been reported by the authors in previous papers (Cenci-Goga et al., 2012; Sechi et al., 2014b, 2014a; Cenci-Goga et al., 2015; Cenci-Goga et al., 2016; Cenci-Goga et al., 2018).

Each cheesemaking was replicated three times in three consecutive days on each farm. According to this experimental procedure there were 18 manufacturing processes: 9 from raw milk and 9 from heattreated milk.

Cheese manufacturing

Cheese was made from ewes and goats milk obtained from both evening and morning milking. The main steps of cheesemaking process were: milk coagulation in a tinned copper vat occurred at 37°C within about 30' by adding liquid calf rennet (Lima, Perugia, Italy, titre 1:10.000). The curd was cut into nut-sized granules (10 to 20 mm), then stirring for 5 minutes, heated at 42-43°. After a pause of 5 to 10 minutes the curd was put into frames (20 cm diameter by 8 cm high), pressed by hand for a few seconds, drained for 18 to 20 hours and salted in brine (20% NaCl w/v, at 12-15°C for 30 h). The cheeses were ripened in non-conditioned storage rooms at 12-15°C and 83-87% RH for 60 days (40 days for goat cheeses).

Heat treatment (where applied) includ-

ed a heating step before the rennet addition. Raw milk was heated to $65-66^{\circ}$ C for 3-4 min in a double wall stainless steel vat. The thermic cycle 55-65-55°C (during heating and cooling) took about 14 min. After further cooling to 42°C, the starter was added at 42°C at a final concentration of 2% (as a full-coagulated 24h culture in sterile milk).

Sampling

The following samples were taken on each farm: raw milk, heat-treated milk (where applied), curd, 7 days cheese, 30 days cheese, full-ripened cheese. Triplicate samples were collected, transported to the laboratory in chilled containers and analysed on the same day. Sampling was made according to ISO 5538:2004 (ISO CH 2004).

Bacterial counts

The following groups were evaluated according to the methodology described previously by Cenci-Goga et al. (2018), briefly, total viable count: pour plates of Plate Count Agar (Difco, Detroit, Mi, USA), were incubated at 30°C for 72 h; all colonies were counted; enterobacteriaceae: pour plates of Violet Red Bile Agar (Difco) were incubated at 30°C for 48h; all pink to red colonies, irrespective of diameter or presence/absence of zone of precipitation were counted as presumed enterobacteriaceae; enterococci: surface-inoculated plates of Barnes Agar (Biolife, Milano, Italy) were incubated at 44°C for 72 h; all pink, red or maroon colonies, irrespective of diameter, were counted as presumed enterococci.

Isolation and identification of enterococci

Two to five colonies from each sample were sub-cultured from Barnes medium into brain heart infusion broth (BHI, Difco) at 37°C for 24h and then tested for the following characteristics: cell morphology after Gram staining, presence of catalase, growth in bile-esculin-azide agar (Coccosel agar, BioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France) at 37°C, growth in 6.5% NaCl BHI agar (Difco) at 37°C, growth in the presence of 4% bile salts (Coccosel agar, Biolife, with added 4% bile salts) at 37°C, haemolysis type on tryptic soy agar (Biolife) to which 5% of ram blood was added, at 37°C.

Complementary biochemical tests were performed on colonies grown on blood agar using the API 20 STREP (BioMérieux). Computer program Apiweb (BioMérieux) was used for the results.

Antibiotics susceptibility

Enterococcus spp. isolates were tested

pagepress

for antimicrobial susceptibility against a panel of 12 antimicrobials by the disk diffusion method (Kirby Bauer Test) as described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI 2018, 2021). The following antimicrobials were tested: amikacin 30 mg, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 30 (20 + 10) mg, ampicillin 10 µg, ceftriaxone 30 µg, chloramphenicol 30 µg, ciprofloxacin 5 µg, kanamycin 30 µg, sulphamethoxazole 25 µg, sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim 25 µg, tetracycline 30 μg, ticarcillin 75 μg, vancomycin 30 μg. This antimicrobial panel was selected to test the major groups of antimicrobials. Briefly, frozen isolates were thawed and cultured in BHI broth (Bio-Rad) at 35 to 37°C for 24 h. A portion of the culture broth was inoculated into 6 mL of 0.9% sterile physiological saline solution until a turbidity of 0.5 McFarland was reached (1.0 for vancomycin (Wongthong et al. 2015)). Using a sterile swab, the solution was spread on Muller-Hinton agar plates (Oxoid). Antimicrobial disks (Oxoid) were placed on Muller-Hinton agar plates which were incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 h. At the end of incubation, the diameters of the growth inhibitory zones were measured, and these were interpreted using specific CLSI tables whereby the bacterium is classified as susceptible, intermediately susceptible or resistant (CLSI 2021).

pH and water activity

To measure the pH, a S40 Seven-Multi digital pH-meter (Mettler-Toledo Italia, Novate Milanese, Italy) was used after mixing 10 g of milk, curd or cheese with 90 ml of distilled water. A dew-point hygrometer HygroLab 3 (Rotronic, Huntington, NY, USA) was used to measure water activity (aw).

Results and discussion

Results of the determination made on Pecorino and goat cheese during manufacture and ripening are given in Tables 1-6.

Changes in total viable counts and Enterobacteriaceae

The differences observed on the three farms (Table 1) comprised a range of variability which is common to on-farm cheese-making in the study area (Cenci-Goga *et al.*, 1995; Clementi *et al.*, 1998b; Avellini *et al.*, 1999a) and elsewhere (Marilley and Casey, 2004; Litopoulou-Tzanetaki and Tzanetakis, 2011; Bonadonna *et al.*, 2017; Russo *et al.*, 2018; Dapkevicius *et al.*, 2021).



The total mesophilic aerobes in raw milk ranged from log 5.9 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm A to log 7.54 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm C. At the early stage of production of cheeses made with raw milk, counts increased up to 1 week and then decreased. In the cheese-making processes from heat-treated milk added with autochthonous starter cultures bacterial population in milk, after the treatment, was reduced to log 3.06 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm A, log 4.56 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm B and log 4.91 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm C. After the treatment counts showed the same evolution described for cheeses made with raw milk.

Enterobacteriaceae in raw milk ranged from 3.9 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm A to 3.9 log cfu ml⁻¹ in farm B. In cheeses made with raw milk Enterobacteriaceae increased up to curd production and then decreased being not detectable or at low concentration at the end of the ripening. On farm B and C bacterial reduction was observed on 1-week cheese whilst on farm A an additional growth occurred in cheese during the first week of ripening, after which there was a decrease in population. Enterobacteriaceae were not detectable in full-ripened cheese made with raw ewe's milk whilst they were detected from full-ripened cheese made with raw goat's milk. In cheeses made with heat-treated milk with added autochthonous starter cultures. Enterobacteriaceae underwent great reduction in heat-treated milk being not detectable in farm A, whilst they were only partially reduced in farm B and C (4.22 log of reduction in farm B and 2.91 in farm C). Although heavy curd recontamination always occurred. no Enterobacteriaceae were detectable in fullripened cheese made with heat-treated ewe's milk, whilst they were still detected in cheeses made with heat-treated goat's milk.

Samelis *et al.* (2009) studied the changes in microbial composition of raw milk induced by thermization and demonstrated that the treatment significantly reduced bacterial populations of raw milk. Although the 67°C treatment was much more effective against all bacterial groups than was the 60°C treatment, the latter had major inactivation effects against gramnegative bacteria but moderate effects against grampositive bacteria. Reductions in populations of thermophilic LAB, including enterococci, in samples thermized at 60°C were not significant.

Table 1. Evolution of microbiota during production and ripening of farm manufactured cheeses (log cfu ml $^{-1}$ or g $^{-1}$) and aw for full ripened cheeses.

	Aerobic via	ole count	Coliforr	ns	Enteroco	<i>ccus</i> spp.
	Mean	Sd	Mean	Sd	Mean	Sd
			6			
Farm A – raw milk	۲ O	0.94	9.09	0.49	9.74	9.01
Raw milk	5,9	0,34	3,93	0,42	2,74	2,81
Curd	7,42	0,23	4,41	0,34	3,47	3,08
l week	10,04	0,79	5,76	0,21	5,26	2,74
I month	8,7	0,14	1,69	2,93	4,42	1,95
2 months (aw 0.925 – sd 0.005)	7,1	0,64	0.00	0.00	3,66	1,1
Farm A – heat treated milk						
Raw milk	5,9	0,34	3,93	0,42	2,74	2,81
Heat treated milk	3,06	0,25	0.00	0.00	0,33	0,58
Curd	7,58	0,89	3,33	0,64	4,6	1,8
1 week	8,56	2,03	3,88	3,4	5,54	2,92
1 month	9,42	0,4	1,37	2,37	6,27	1,5
2 months (aw 0.936 – sd 0.006)	9,13	0,57	0.00	0.00	4,29	1,12
Farm B – raw milk						
Raw milk	6.01	0.31	4.7	0.36	1.75	3.03
Curd	7.19	0.66	5.34	1.49	4.72	0.59
1 week	9.13	0.38	5.3	0.45	5.74	1.1
1 month	819	0.44	1 78	0.88	5 33	1 01
2 months (aw $0.924 - sd 0.001$)	7.44	0.85	0.00	0.00	3.66	1.1
Farm B – heat treated milk	.,	-,			-,	- 7 -
Raw milk	6.01	0.31	17	0.36	1 75	3.03
Heat treated milk	4.56	0.01	0.48	0,50	1,70	1 79
Curd	8 15	0.46	4.61	1 25	5 02	0.86
1 wook	10	0,40	5.96	0.40	5,52	0,00
1 month	8.01	0,44	3,00	1 29	0,21	0,55
2 months (200, 0.934 - sd 0.005)	8.34	0,42	0.00	0.00	5.14	0,15
2 months (aw 0.334 - 30 0.003)	0,04	0,22	0.00	0.00	0,17	0,00
Farm C – raw milk	7 6 4	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	1 1 1
Raw milk	1,54	0,36	3,03 5 15	0,85	3,62	1,11
	8,14	0,31	5,15	0,11	5,57	1,03
I WEEK	9,46	0,00	4,91	0,27	5,77	0,79
I month	7,81	0,27	1,81	1,57	5,35	1,24
2 months (aw 0.919 – sd 0.003)	7,97	0,12	1,63	1,45	4,41	0,46
Farm C – heat treated milk						
Raw milk	7,54	0,36	3,63	0,85	3,62	1,11
Heat treated milk	4,91	0,09	0,72	0,72	2,47	0,04
Curd	8,42	0,05	3,81	0,53	6,22	1,18
1 week	9,72	0,01	5,92	0,24	6,7	0,59
1 month	8,12	0,27	2,75	1,55	4,36	0,82
2 months (aw 0.928 – sd 0.015)	7,99	0,61	0,83	1,43	4,92	0,41



Behaviour of enterococci

Enterococci counts in milk were always lower than 4 log cfu ml⁻¹. The changes observed were similar to those observed previously (Clementi *et al.*, 1998b). At the early stage of production of cheeses made with raw milk, counts increased up to 1 week and then slightly decreased. In the cheesemaking processes from heat-treated milk with added autochthonous starter cultures no evident reduction of enterococci population was observed after the treatment. After the heat treatment counts showed the same behaviour described for cheeses made with raw milk.

Other authors have studied *Enterococcus* spp. populations in pecorino and other traditional cheeses. For instance Serio *et al.* (2007) found that the presence of enterococci in Pecorino Abruzzese ranged from $\log 4$ cfu g⁻¹ to $\log 6$ cfu g⁻¹ at the beginning of ripening and reached $\log 9$ cfu g⁻¹ in ripened cheeses. Similar results were described by Litopoulou-Tzanetaki

and Tzanetakis (2011) which described enterococci levels in traditional Greek cheeses as ranging from log 6 cfu g^{-1} to log 10 cfu g^{-1} for the different kind of products examined.

Identification

One-hundred strains of enterococci were identified. Forty-six from farm A, thirty-two from farm B and twenty-two from farm C. Sixty-nine were identified as *E. faecium*, twenty-two as *E. durans*, seven as

Table 2. Distribution of Enterococcus spp. per sample (cheesemaking processes from heat-treated milk).

Species	N.	Milk	Curd	7-day cheese	30-day cheese	Full ripened cheese
E. faecium	69	8 (5)	4 (8)	10 (6)	4 (5)	10 (9)
E. durans	22	2 (-)	1 (4)	3 (4)	2 (-)	5 (1)
E. faecalis	8	- (-)	1 (-)	1 (-)	- (-)	5 (-)
E. casseliflavus	2	- (-)	- (-)	- (-)	- (-)	- (2)
Total	100	10 (5)	6 (12)	14 (10)	6 (5)	20 (12)

Table 3. Distribution of Enterococcus spp. per farm.

Species		n.	F	arm A	Far	m B	Far	Farm C	
	n.	%	n.	%	n.	%	n.	%	
E. faecium	69	69	37	80.4	22	68.8	10	45.4	
E. durans	22	22	9	19.6	2	6.2	11	50.0	
E. faecalis	7	7	-		6	18.8	1	4.6	
E. casseliflavus	2	2	-		2	6.2	-	-	
Total	100	100	46	100	32	100	22	100	

Table 4. Principal physiological and biochemical characteristics of enterococci isolated from pecorino and goat cheese at various stages of ripening (figures are numbers of strains).

	E. faecium	E. durans	E. faecalis	E. casseliflavus
β-haemolysis	0	0	0	0
β-haemolysis	0	0	0	0
β-haemolysis	69	22	7	2
Growth in bile-esculin	69	22	7	2
Growth in the presence of 6.5% nacl	69	22	7	2
Growth in the presence of 4% bile salts	69	22	7	2
Growth in the presence of TTC	69	22	7	2
Hydrolysis of arginine	69	22	7	2
Hydrolysis of hippurate	34	16	7	2
Acetoin production	69	22	7	2
Fermentation of:				
Mannitol	69	0	7	2
Sorbitol	0	0	7	0
Raffinose	0	0	0	2
Inulin	0	0	0	0
L-arabinose	68	0	0	2
Ribose	69	22	7	2
Lactose	69	22	7	2
Trehalose	69	22	7	2
Starch	68	16	7	2
Glycogen	0	0	0	0
Total number of strains	69	22	7	2



Enterococcus faecalis and two as E. casseliflavus (Tables 2 and 3). Enterococcus faecium was found more frequently on farm A (80.4%) and on farm B (68.8%), whilst on farm C more than 95% of the isolates consisted of Enterococcus faecium and E. durans altogether. No strains of Enterococcus faecalis were found on Farm A, whilst E. casseliflavus was found only on farm B. Many enterococci such as E. faecium and E. durans (isolated during this study), are prominent probiotic candidates because they possess many desirable characteristics such as cholesterol-lowering ability, production of antimicrobial compounds against pathogens, adhesion to intestinal cells and immunomodulation (Bagci et al., 2019). Enterococci are gastrointestinal commensal bacteria in humans and other animals predominantly considered non-pathogenic. Two species in particular, *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*, despite used in probiotics formulation (Grispoldi *et al.*, 2020) have also been recognised as nosocomial opportunistic pathogens in healthcare settings (Gao *et al.*, 2018).

Recently, Russo *et al.* (2018) described the prevalence distribution of enterococci in Ragusano and Pecorino Siciliano: 35% of the isolate were ascribed to *E. durans*, 35% to *E. faecalis*, 28% to *E. faecium*. Overall data from previous studies are quite similar and the prevalence of *E. faecium/E. durans*, followed by *E. faecalis* is reported quite constantly (Andrighetto *et al.*, 2001; Templer and Baumgartner, 2007; Jamet *et al.*, 2012).

Bacterial cells under microscopic observation after Gram staining appeared Gram positive, in pairs or in short chains, ovoid

elongated in direction of the chain. All strains were catalase negative. This result together with cells morphology confirmed the identification of the strains as belonging to the genus Streptococcus. Enterococci were identified as such on the basis of their growth in the presence of bile-esculin, 6.5% of NaCl solution, and 4% bile salts, and on the basis of the hydrolysis of the arginine. TTC reduction on Barnes medium differentiated E. faecalis from E. faecium. In fact, culture grown in the presence of triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TTC) on Barnes medium were white or with a red centre and white border. The former was presumptively identified as E. faecium and the latter as E. faecalis prior to biochemical tests with API 20 Strep (BioMérieux). No strains were β-haemolytic.

The principal physiological and bio-

Table 5. Antimicrobia	susceptibility data	per Enterococcus spp.
-----------------------	---------------------	-----------------------

	Tot S	tal n=1 I	100 R	E. dı S	<i>irans</i> n I	=22 R		<i>E. faeciu</i> S I	<i>m</i> n=69 R	E	. faecali I	s n=7 R	E. casse	liflavus I	s n=2 R
	U	1	K	0	1	K		5 1	K	\mathbf{O}^{r}	1	K	6	1	K
AK total %	31 31	21 21	48 48	7 31.8	5 22.7	10 45.5	3	21 15 0.4 21.7	33 47.8	3 42.9	1 14.3	3 42.9	0 0	0 0	2 100
AMC total %	99 99	1 1	0 0	22 100	0 0	-	9	68 1 8.6 1.5	0 0	7 100	0 0	0 0	2 100	0 0	0 0
AMP total %	98 98	0 0	2 2	22 100	0 0	0 0	9	67 0 7.1 0	2 2.9	7 100	0 0	0 0	2 100	0 0	0 0
C total %	94 94	5 5	1 1	22 100	0 0	0 0	9	53 5 1.3 7.3	1 1.5	7 100	0 0	0 0	2 100	0 0	0 0
CIP total %	23 23	47 47	30 30	8 36.4	9 40.1	5 22.7	2	15 29 1.7 42.0	25 36.2	0 0	7 100	0 0	0 0	2 100	0 0
CRO total %	8 8	50 50	42 42	0	15 68.2	7 31.8	7	5 32 .3 46.4	32 46.4	2 28.6	2 5 28.6	3 42.9	1 50	1 50	0 0
K total %	0 0	26 26	74 74	0 0	5 22.7	17 77.3		0 21 0 30.4	48 69.6	0 0	0 0	7 100	0 0	0 0	2 100
RL total %	100 100	0 0	0 0	22 100	0 0	0 0	1	69 0 00 0	0 0	7 100	0	0 0	2 100	0 0	0 0
SXT total %	89 89	4 4	7 7	19 86.4	0 0	3 13.6	8	62 4 9.9 5.8	3 4.38	6 85.7	0 7 0	1 14.3	2 100	0 0	0 0
TE total %	45 45	16 16	39 39	13 59.1	3 13.6	6 27.3	3	27 11 9.1 15.9	31 44.9	4 57.1	2 28.6	1 14.3	1 50	0 0	1 50
TIC total %	91 91	7 7	2 2	19 86.4	3 13.6	0 0	9	65 2 4.2 2.9	2 2.9	6 85.7	1 7 14.3	0 0	1 50	1 50	0 0
VA total %	82 82	13 13	5 5	19 86.4	2 9.1	1 4.5	8	57 8 2.6 11.6	4 5.8	6 85.7	1 7 14.3	0 0	0 0	2 100	0 0

S: susceptible, I: intermediate, R: resistant; AK: amikacin 30 g, AMC: amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 30 (20 + 10) g, AMP: ampicillin 10 µg, C: chloramphenicol 30 µg, CIP: ciprofloxacin 5 µg, C RO: ceftriaxone 30 µg, K: kanamycin 30 µg, RL: sulphamethoxazole 25 µg, SXT: sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim 25 µg, TE: tetracycline 30 µg, TIC: ticarcillin 75 µg, VA: vancomycin 30 µg.

chemical characteristics of the isolates are given on Table 4.

Antibiotics susceptibility

The antimicrobial susceptibility test data are shown in Tables 5 and 6. High percentages (>80%) of susceptible strains were found for amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, ampicillin, chloramphenicol. sulsulphamethoxazole/ phamethoxazole, trimethoprim, ticarcillin, vancomycin. A high prevalence of resistance strains (>30%) was observed for amikacin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, kanamycin, tetracycline. It is important to note that 5% of the strains (one strain of E. durans and 4 strains of E. faecium all isolated from farm B) were resistant to vancomycin. The mechanisms of antibiotic resistance development and diffusion of the genes that encode for antibiotic resistance are very complex and still not completely understand. In the veterinary and agricultural fields, the responsibility has often been placed on the excessive use of them in production animals. Consequently, it is possible to hypothesize that the antibiotic resistance patterns observed in our study were influenced by the pharmacological treatments in the farms necessary to treat pathological conditions. At the same time, it is well known that wild bacterial populations can play the role of reservoirs for antibiotic resistance genes and could become part of the strains isolated during the study (European Centre for Disease Prevention Control, 2019; Dapkevicius et al., 2021). For instance, the last two decades, Enterococci have become major nosocomial pathogens. An increasing number of these infections are due to enterococci that are resistant to vancomycin. Accurate detection of vancomycin-resistant



enterococci (VRE) is important so that appropriate therapy and infection control measures may be applied, including veterinary surveillance (European Centre for Disease Prevention Control, 2019). Russo et al. (2018) examined the susceptibility of Enterococcus spp. isolated from Sicilian cheeses to the most clinical antibiotics by microdilution method and found that 97% of the isolates, out of 110, exhibited a multidrug-resistant phenotype (resistance to at least three antimicrobials) with 14 strains, 13 E. faecalis and one E. faecium, resistant to 7 out of 9 antimicrobials tested. Furthermore, 19 strains (15 E. durans, 2 E. faecalis and 2 E. faecium) showed resistance to 5 antimicrobials. In particular, out of 15 E. durans, all were resistant to ervthromycin, 14 to rifampicin and ampicillin and 7 to penicillin. Only three (2.7%) strains (E. durans, E. faecalis, and E. faeci-

Table 6. Antimicrobial susceptibility data per farm.

	Total			Farm A n=46				Farm B n=	32	F	Farm C n=22		
	S		R	S		R			R	S		R	
٨V													
AN total	31	91	/18	17	Q	20	Q	3	20	5	0	8	
%	31	21	48	37.0	196	43.5	281	94	62.5	22.7	40.9	36.4	
AMC	01		10	01.0	10.0	10.0	10.1	0.1	01.0		10.0	00.1	
total	QQ	1	0	46	0	0	31	1	0	22	0	0	
%	99	1	0	100	0	0	96.9	3.1	0	100	0	0	
ΔΜΡ						-							
total	98	0	2	46	0	0	30	0	2	22	0	0	
%	98	0	2	100	0	0	93.8	0	6.3	100	0	0	
С													
total	94	5	1	45	0	1	27	5	0	22	0	0	
%	94	5	1	97.8	0	2.2	84.4	15.6	0	100	0	0	
CIP													
total	23	47	30	17	15	14	2	18	12	4	14	4	
%	23	47	30	37.1	32.6	30.4	6.3	56.3	37.5	18.2	63.6	18.2	
CRO													
total	8	50	42	2	15	29	6	19	7	0	16	6	
%	8	50	42	4.3	32.6	63.0	18.8	59.4	21.9	0	72.7	27.3	
К													
total	0	26	74	0	16	30	0	7	25	0	3	19	
%	0	26	74	0	34.8	65.2	0	21.9	78.1	0	13.6	80.4	
RL	0	0	100	0	0	10	0	0	00	0	0	0.0	
total	0	0	100	0	0	40	0	0	32	0	0	22	
%0	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	100	0	0	100	
SXT	00	4	7	49	0	9	26	4	9	20	0	ŋ	
101ai %	89 89	4	7	40	0	65	20 81 3	125	63	90.9	0	4 91	
70 TE	00	1	'	50.0	0	0.0	01.0	12.0	0.0	00.0	0	0.1	
total	45	16	39	21	6	19	13	7	12	11	3	8	
%	45	16	39	45.7	13.0	41.3	40.6	21.9	37.5	50	13.6	36.4	
TIC													
total	91	7	2	46	0	0	27	3	2	18	4	0	
%	91	7	2	100	0	0	84.4	9.4	6.3	81.8	18.2	0	
VA													
total	82	13	5	43	3	0	18	9	5	21	1	0	
%	82	13	5	93.5	6.52	0	56.3	28.1	15.6	95.5	4.5	0	
S: suscentible 1	· intermediate	R· resistant· Ak	C amikacin 30	g AMC amovi	cillin/clavular	uic acid 30 (20	+ 10) g AMP ampicil	lin 10 ug C. chlor	ramphonicol 30 u	g CIP ciproflovacin	5 ug C RO; cef	triavone 30 ug	

S: susceptible, I: intermediate, R: resistant; AK: amikacin 30 g, AMC: amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 30 (20 + 10) g, AMP: ampicillin 10 µg, C: chloramphenicol 30 µg, CIP: ciprofloxacin 5 µg, C RO: ceftriaxone 30 µg, K: kanamycin 30 µg, RL: sulphamethoxazole 25 µg, SXT: sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim 25 µg, TE: tetracycline 30 µg, TIC: ticarcillin 75 µg, VA: vancomycin 30 µg.



um) were susceptible to all tested antibiotics. These results highlighted the highest occurrence of resistance for rifampicin, erythromycin and ampicillin and the lowest for gentamicin. More recently Výrostková et al. (2021) reported that isolates of Enterococcus spp. from sheep and goat cheeses showed high antibiotic resistance to vancomycin (84.62%; 44/52 isolates), teicoplanin (84.62%; 44/52 isolates), erythromycin (76.92%; 40/52 isolates), and rifampicin (76.92%; 40/52 isolates). Lower antibiotic resistance was detected against nitrofurantoin (46.15%; 24//52 isolates) and minocycline (38.46%; 20/52 isolates). Serio et al. (2007) analysed enterococci isolates from Pecorino Abruzzese and found a high incidence of antibiotic resistance, with a prevalence of erythromycin resistance especially for E. faecium (75.7%), followed by E. faecalis (48.3%), and E. durans (37.5%). Results for vancomvcin by disk diffusion test have been criticized and in 2011 the CLSI M100-S19 document has recommended the disuse of vancomycin disks for staphylococci and informed that studies on the action of teicoplanin in disk-diffusion testing should be performed (Camargo et al. 2011), however, for screening purposes, such as in this study, the disk diffusion test is still a viable choice, especially for enterococci (Hegstad et al. 2014; Wongthong et al. 2015).

pH and water activity

Results for the evolution of pH throughout ripening are shown in Figure 2 while Table 1 lists aw values for full ripened cheeses. pH ranged from 6.7 for milk samples to values around 5 for 1-week cheeses with a slight increase toward the end of ripening when all samples were above 5.5. From 1-week all cheeses made with heat treated milk with added starter culture had consistently lower values, due to the acidification induced by lactic acid bacteria. aw for full ripened cheeses was in the range 0.92-0.93. These data are common for those productions (Clementi *et al.* 1998a; Avellini *et al.* 1999b; Cenci-Goga *et al.* 2021; Celano *et al.* 2022; Daghio *et al.* 2022; Di Trana *et al.* 2022; Gaglio *et al.* 2022).

Conclusions

The results clearly supported the technological and hygienic reasons that cheese processors have for applying mild thermization rather than typical pasteurization treatments in raw ewe's and goat's milk intended for use in traditional hard cheese processing. In principle, empirically applied thermization treatments should contribute to the reduction of the total microbial load of raw milk to levels assuring an optimal fermentation and increased safety of the resultant cheese while selecting for the beneficial part of the natural milk flora that maintains viability. Thermization of raw milk samples at 56°C for 30 s practically eliminated undesirable Enterobacteriaceae. In our previous study we demonstrated ther-





mization of ewe's and goat's milk almost completely eliminated the coliforms and staphylococci in farm goat cheese, although these bacteria were present in high concentrations in raw milk. *S. aureus* strains (10% of total staphylococci) were isolated from raw milk cheese samples only (Clementi *et al.*, 1998b; Avellini *et al.*, 1999a).

Regarding the antimicrobial susceptibility, in Turkey a study by Sanlibaba and Senturk (2018) in 215 traditional cheese samples identified 99.1% enterococcal isolates that were highly resistant to nalidixic acid (100%), kanamycin (98.6%), and rifampicin (78.4%), and were resistant to ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, tetracycline, penicillin G, chloramphenicol, gentamycin, and streptomycin (Sanlibaba and Senturk, 2018). On the other hand, according to Hollenbeck and Rice (2012) the resistance profile of Enterococcus species according was as follows: erythromycin (49.2%); vancomycin (37.3%); and tetracycline (45.8%). Concurrently, the detected occurrence of antibiotic resistance genes in theses tested enterococci was: ermA 44.8%, vanA 63.6%, tetA 51.9%, tetM 55.6%, ermB 13.8%, and vanB 22.7%. This study may reveal that RTE food products may be reservoirs of detectable enterococci such as E. casseliflavus, E. durans, E. hirae, E. gallinarum.

Also Serio *et al.* (2007) found a high incidence of antibiotic resistance, with a prevalence of erythromycin resistance especially for E. *faecium* (75.7%), followed by *E. faecalis* (48.3%), and E. *durans* (37.5%). Food isolates resistant to this macrolide have been reported by other authors, such as Russo *et al.* (2018), but in lower percentages and with a higher incidence for E. faecalis than for E. faecium. The widespread erythromycin resistance could be related to the presence in enterococci of plasmids and transposons (Foulquié Moreno *et al.* 2006).

Our results, with an overall high level of resistance of Enterococcus spp. to erythromycin (48%) of the strains). ciprofloxacin (30%), ceftriaxone (42%), kanamycin (74%) and tetracycline (39%), including a 5% of the strains resistant to vancomycin (and 13% intermediate) demonstrated that the resistance to antimicrobial of Enterococcus spp. is distributed over a wide variety of antibiotics groups. According to Werner et al. (2013) the genomic composition of enterococci, their robust nature, the frequent occurrence in many natural habitats and their flexibility to respond to varying environmental conditions make them a central hub (a «drug resistance gene trafficker») for resistance gene acquisition, conservation and dissemination, especially among related Gram-pos-



itive bacteria

In Pecorino and goat cheese the ripening processes are the result of the natural microbiological contamination of milk and of the characteristics cheesemaking technology. Therefore, not only lactic acid bacteria but also other bacterial groups, such as enterococci, must be considered. This study demonstrated that, regardless the cheesemaking technology, heavy curd recontamination occurs and that enterococci are always detected at high concentration at the end of ripening. A large proportion of isolates are also resistant to antibiotics and this pose the question whether microorganism belonging to Enterococcus spp. should be awarded in future the QPS or GRAS status.

The development of mountain farming and the promotion of mountain food production are a way to encourage sustainable development of mountain areas, which are generally considered places with specific geographic and climatic constraints. In order to promote strategies for mountain products, the European Union with the Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 reserves the use of the term "Mountain Product" to food products produced and processed in mountain areas. This regulation was supplemented by the Delegated Act (EU) No 665/2014, which specifies the conditions of use of the optional quality term "Mountain Product". It is of the utmost importance to assess the interest in the application of the new mountain label and the perception of the food mountain products by the Umbria Region. However, we believe that the creation of a label to protect and certify mountain food could be the basis to improve the promotion of mountain quality food products and the sustainability of these areas.

References

- Andrighetto C, Knijff EDO, Lombardi A, Torriani S, Vancanneyt M, Kersters K, Swings J, Dellaglio F, 2001. Phenotypic and genetic diversity of enterococci isolated from Italian cheeses. J Dairy Res 68:303-16.
- Avellini P, Clementi F, Marinucci M, Cenci-Goga B, Rea S, Branciari R, Cavallucci C, Reali C, Di Antonio E.,1999a. "Pit" cheese: Compositional, microbiological and sensory characteristics. Ital J Food Sci 11:317-33.
- Bagci U, Ozmen Togay S, Temiz A, Ay M, 2019. Probiotic characteristics of bacteriocin-producing Enterococcus faecium strains isolated from human milk and colostrum. Folia Microbiol 64:735-50.
- Bonadonna A, Peira G, Giachino C, Molinaro L, 2017. Traditional Cheese Production and an EU Labeling

Scheme: The Alpine Cheese Producers' Opinion. Agriculture 7(8).

- Camargo CH, Mondelli AL, Bôas PJFV, 2011. Comparison of teicoplanin disk diffusion and broth microdilution methods against clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus and S. epidermidis. Braz J Microbiol 42:1265-8.
- Celano G, Costantino G, Calasso M, Randazzo C, Minervini F, 2022. Distinctive Traits of Four Apulian Traditional Agri-Food Product (TAP) Cheeses Manufactured at the Same Dairy Plant. Foods 11(3).
- Cenci-Goga B, Clementi F, Di Antonio E, 1995. Behaviour of lactic and non lactic microflora during production and ripening of on-farm manufactured Pecorino cheese. p. 219-235.
- Cenci-Goga B, Cruciani D, Crotti S, Karama M, Ylldlrlm G, Bulut M, Marino C, Grispoldi L. 2021. Diversity of yeasts and moulds in dairy products from Umbria, central Italy. J Dairy Res 88:217-20.
- Cenci-Goga BT, Crotti S, Costarelli S, Rondini C, Karama M, Bennett P, 2004. Detection of tet(M) Gene from Raw Milk by Rapid DNA Extraction Followed by a Two-Step PCR with Nested Primers. J Food Protect 67:2833-8.
- Cenci-Goga BT, Karama M, Sechi P, Iulietto MF, Grispoldi L, Selvaggini R, Ceccarelli M, Barbera S. 2018. Fate of selected pathogens in spiked «SALAME NOSTRANO» produced without added nitrates following the application of NONITTM technology. Meat Sci 139:247-54.
- Cenci-Goga BT, Karama M, Sechi P, Iulietto MF, Novelli S, Selvaggini R, Barbera S, 2016. Effect of a novel starter culture and specific ripening conditions on microbiological characteristics of nitrate-free dry-cured pork sausages. Ital J Anim Sci 15:358-74.
- Cenci-Goga BT, Karama M, Sechi P, Iulietto MF, Novelli S, Selvaggini R, Mattei S, 2015. Growth Inhibition of Selected Microorganisms by an Association of Dairy Starter Cultures and Probiotics. Ital J Anim Sci 14:3745.
- Cenci-Goga BT, Rossitto PV, Sechi P, Parmegiani S, Cambiotti V, Cullor JS, 2012. Effect of selected dairy starter cultures on microbiological, chemical and sensory characteristics of swine and venison (Dama dama) nitrite-free drycured sausages. Meat Sci 90:599-606.
- Clementi F, Cenci Goga BT, Trabalza Marinucci M, Di Antonio E, 1998a. Use of selected starter cultures in the production of farm manufactured goat

cheese from thermized milk. Ital J Food Sci 10:41-56.

- Clementi F, Cenci-Goga B, Trabalza Marinucci M, Di Antonio E, 1998b. Use of selected starter cultures in the production of farm manufactured goat cheese from thermized milk. Ital J Food Sci 10:41-56.
- CLSI. 2018. M02-A12 Performence Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests, 13th Edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), Wayne, PA.
- CLSI. 2021. M100. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 31st Edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), Wayne, PA.
- Daghio M, Pini F, Espinoza-Tofalos A, Conte G, Mari E, Giannerini F, Giovannetti L, Buccioni A, Franzetti A, Granchi L, 2022. Characterization of the microbial community in ripened Pecorino Toscano cheese affected by pink discoloration. Food Microbiol 104.
- Dapkevicius MD, Sgardioli B, Câmara SPA, Poeta P, Malcata FX. 2021. Current Trends of Enterococci in Dairy Products: A Comprehensive Review of Their Multiple Roles. Foods 10(4).
- Di Trana A, Di Rosa AR, Addis M, Fiori M, Di Grigoli A, Morittu VM, Spina AA, Claps S, Chiofalo V, Licitra G, 2022. The Quality of Five Natural, Historical Italian Cheeses Produced in Different Months: Gross Composition, Fat-Soluble Vitamins, Fatty Acids, Total Phenols, Antioxidant Capacity, and Health Index [Article]. Animals 12(2).
- European Centre for Disease Prevention Control. 2019. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Europe 2018. ECDC Stockholm.
- Foulquié Moreno MR, Sarantinopoulos P, Tsakalidou E, De Vuyst L. 2006. The role and application of enterococci in food and health. Int J Food Microbiol 106:1-24.
- Franz CMAP, Van Belkum MJ, Holzapfel WH, Abriouel H, Gálvez A. 2007. Diversity of enterococcal bacteriocins and their grouping in a new classification scheme. FEMS Microbiol Rev 31:293-310.
- Gaglio R, Busetta G, Gannuscio R, Settanni L, Licitra G, Todaro M. 2022. A Multivariate Approach to Study the Bacterial Diversity Associated to the Wooden Shelves Used for Aging Traditional Sicilian Cheese. Foods 11(5).
- Gao W, Howden BP, Stinear TP, 2018. Evolution of virulence in Enterococcus faecium, a hospital-adapted opportunis-



- tic pathogen. (1879-0364 (Electronic)). Graham K, Stack H, Rea R, 2020. Safety, beneficial and technological properties of enterococci for use in functional food applications – a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 60:3836-61.
- Grispoldi L, Giglietti R, Traina G, Cenci-Goga B, 2020. How to Assess in vitro Probiotic Viability and the Correct Use of Neutralizing Agents. Front Microbiol 11:204.
- Hanchi H, Mottawea W, Sebei K, Hammami R, 2018. The Genus Enterococcus: Between Probiotic Potential and Safety Concerns—An Update. Front Microbiol 9:1791.
- Hazards EPanel oB. 2017. Scientific Opinion on the update of the list of QPS-recommended biological agents intentionally added to food or feed as notified to EFSA, EFSA J 15:e04664.
- Hegstad K, Giske CG, Haldorsen B, Matuschek E, Schønning K, Leegaard TM, Kahlmeter G, Sundsfjord A, Nordic ASTVREDSG, 2014. Performance of the EUCAST disk diffusion method, the CLSI agar screen method, and the Vitek 2 automated antimicrobial susceptibility testing system for detection of clinical isolates of Enterococci with low- and mediumlevel VanB-type vancomycin resistance: a multicenter study. J Clin Microbiol 52:1582-9.
- Hollenbeck BL, Rice LB, 2012. Intrinsic and acquired resistance mechanisms in enterococcus. Virulence 3:421-569.
- Huys G, Botteldoorn N, Delvigne F, De Vuyst L, Heyndrickx M, Pot B, Dubois JJ, Daube G, 2013. Microbial characterization of probiotics–Advisory report of the Working Group "8651 Probiotics" of the Belgian Superior Health Council (SHC). Mol Nutr Food Res 57:1479-504.
- ISO CH. 2004. ISO 5538:2004 [IDF 113:2004] Milk and milk products Sampling Inspection by attributes. ISO CH.
- Jamet E, Akary E, Poisson MA, Chamba JF, Bertrand X, Serror P, 2012. Prevalence and characterization of antibiotic resist-

ant Enterococcus faecalis in French cheeses. Food Microbiol 31:191-8.

- Litopoulou-Tzanetaki E, Tzanetakis N, 2011. Microbiological characteristics of Greek traditional cheeses. Small Rum Res 101:17-32.
- Marilley L, Casey MG, 2004. Flavours of cheese products: metabolic pathways, analytical tools and identification of producing strains. Int J Food Microbiol 90:139-59.
- Russo N, Caggia C, Pino A, Coque TM, Arioli S, Randazzo CL, 2018. Enterococcus spp. in Ragusano PDO and Pecorino Siciliano cheese types: A snapshot of their antibiotic resistance distribution. Food Chem Toxicol 120:277-86.
- Samelis J, Lianou A, Kakouri A, DelbÈS C, Rogelj I, BogoviČ-MatijaŠIĆ B, Montel M-C. 2009. Changes in the Microbial Composition of Raw Milk Induced by Thermization Treatments Applied Prior to Traditional Greek Hard Cheese Processing. J Food Protect 72:783-90.
- Sanlibaba P, Senturk E, 2018. Prevalence, characterization and antibiotic resistance of enterococci from traditional cheeses in Turkey. Int J Food Propert 21:1955-63.
- Schleifer KH, Kilpper-Bälz R, 1984. Transfer of Streptococcus faecalis and Streptococcus faecium to the Genus Enterococcus nom. rev. as Enterococcus faecalis comb. nov. and Enterococcus faecium comb. nov. Int J System Evolut Microbiol 34:31-4.
- Sechi P, Iulietto MF, Mattei S, Traina G, Codini M, Cenci-Goga BT, 2014a.
 Effect of a formulation of selected dairy starter cultures and probiotics on microbiological, chemical and sensory characteristics of swine dry-cured sausages. p. 83-83.
- Sechi P, Iulietto MF, Mattei S, Traina G, Codini M, Cenci-Goga BT, 2014b. In vitro activity of a formulation of lactic acid bacteria of dairy origin and probiotics vs. selected pathogens. p. 82-82.
- Serio A, Chaves-López C, Paparella A, Suzzi G, 2010. Evaluation of metabolic

activities of enterococci isolated from Pecorino Abruzzese cheese. Int Dairy J 20:459-64.

- Serio A, Paparella A, Chavez-López C, Corsetti A, Suzzi G, 2007. Enterococcus populations in pecorino abruzzese cheese: Biodiversity and safety aspects [Article]. J Food Protect 70:1561-8.
- Sojeong H, Jungmin L, Jong-Hoon L, Do-Won J, 2019. Genomic Insight into the Salt Tolerance of Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus faecalis,Tetragenococcus halophilus. J Microbiol Biotechnol 29:1591-602.
- Templer SP, Baumgartner A, 2007. Enterococci from Appenzeller and Schabziger raw milk cheese: antibiotic resistance, virulence factors, and persistence of particular strains in the products. J Food Prot 70:450-5.
- Tsanasidou C, Asimakoula S, Sameli N, Fanitsios C, Vandera E, Bosnea L, Koukkou AI, Samelis J, 2021. Safety Evaluation, Biogenic Amine Formation, and Enzymatic Activity Profiles of Autochthonous Enterocin-Producing Greek Cheese Isolates of the Enterococcus faecium/durans Group. Microorganisms 9(4).
- Výrostková J, Regecová I, Dudriková E, Marcinčák S, Vargová M, Kováčová M, Maľová J, 2021. Antimicrobial Resistance of Enterococcus sp. Isolated from Sheep and Goat Cheeses. Foods 10(8).
- Werner G, Coque TM, Franz CMAP, Grohmann E, Hegstad K, Jensen L, van Schaik W, Weaver K, 2013. Antibiotic resistant enterococci—Tales of a drug resistance gene trafficker. Int J Med Microbiol 303:360-79.
- Wongthong S, Dutchanutouch K, Namsaengkang V, Chanawong A, Wilailuckana C, Lulitanond A, 2015. Performance of vancomycin and teicoplanin disk diffusion test in isogenic vancomycin non-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus. J Infect Dev Ctries 9:157-64.