Emergency Care Journal

Official Journal of the Academy of Emergency Medicine and Care (AcEMC)





eISSN 2282-2054

https://www.pagepressjournals.org/index.php/ecj/index

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The **Early Access** service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print / regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community.

These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

The **Emergency Care Journal** is, therefore, e-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear on a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

Emerg Care J 2024 [Online ahead of print]

To cite this Article:

Cavaleri F, Porta L, Poggiali E. **A common complaint does not always mean a common diagnosis.** *Emerg Care J* doi: 10.4081/ecj.2024.12724

3 ©The Author(s), 2024

Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



A common complaint does not always mean a common diagnosis

Francesco Cavaleri, Lorenzo Porta, Erika Poggiali

Emergency Medicine Unit, Fatebenefratelli Hospital, ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco, Milan, Italy

Correspondence: Erika Poggiali, Emergency Medicine Unit, Fatebenefratelli Hospital, ASST

Fatebenefratelli Sacco, Milan, Italy.

E-mail: poggiali.erika@gmail.com

Key words: pericardial effusion, dyspnoea, coronary artery fistula, point-of-care ultrasound.

Contributions: FC and EP collected details of the case and drafted the manuscript. LP cared for the

patient and critically revised the manuscript. All the authors approved the final version and agreed to

be held accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflicts of interest: EP is a member of the Editorial Board of ECJ.

Funding: none.

Availability of data and materials: all data underlying the findings are fully available upon

reasonable request to Francesco Cavaleri (<u>francesco.cavaleri@asst-fbf-sacco.it</u>).

2

Ethics approval and consent to participate: as this was a descriptive case report and data was collected without patient identifiers, ethics approval was not required under our hospital's Institutional Review Board guidelines.

Informed consent: the patient provided consent for the access to medical records at the time of admission.



An 83-year-old woman was referred to our Emergency Department (ED) for a chronic pericardial effusion with exertional dyspnoea that had been worse over the past several days. She denied any chest pain, syncope, fever, or leg swelling. She suffered from hypertension, heart failure NYHA I, diabetes mellitus type 2, and essential tremor treated with carvedilol, furosemide, olmesartan-hydrochlorothiazide, acetylsalicylic acid, and dapagliflozin. Her physical examination revealed no abnormalities. Her vital signs were blood pressure 130/70 mmHg, heart rate 70 bpm, peripheral oxygen saturation 95% in room ambient, and respiratory rate 18/min. Laboratory findings, including C-reactive protein and troponin T, were in the normal range. The electrocardiogram showed a sinus rhythm with normal atrioventricular conduction and ventricular repolarization. Point-of-care ultrasound documented an A-line pattern without pleural effusion and a diffuse and large (30 mm fluid strip) pericardial effusion without signs of cardiac tamponade and unchanged from the previous

echocardiogram (Video 1). It also showed a large pulsing mass 6x6 cm close to the right atrium with a predominant systolic flow (Video 2, Video 3).

Question

What is the most likely diagnosis?

- 1) Constrictive pericarditis
- 2) Pulmonary embolism
- 3) Right coronary artery-coronary sinus fistula
- 4) Cardiogenic pulmonary edema

Answer

An urgent contrast-enhanced cardiac Computed Tomography (CT) confirmed the diffuse pericardial effusion (max 5 cm) without leakage of contrast media into the pericardial space and showed an arteriovenous fistula between the Right Coronary Artery (RCA) and the magna cardiac vein with the coronary venous sinus. It also confirmed a vascular sac of about 6x6x7 cm, supplied in the arterial phase by the RCA, which has a tortuous course and a caliber of about 9 mm, well opacified in the venous phase. The left atrium was compressed in the absence of thrombotic formations.

Coronary Artery Fistula (CAF) is a rare congenital heart disease with an incidence of 0.002% in the general population. It is defined as an anomalous connection between a coronary artery and any other cardiac vessels or cardiac chambers. Most CAFs involve the RCA draining into the right heart structures. Although the presentation may initially be asymptomatic, untreated CAFs may lead to sequelae in early adulthood, such as proximal aneurysmal dilation of the involved vessels, myocardial ischemia, refractory angina, and congestive heart failure. In very few cases, CAF can cause an unexplained chronic pericardial effusion that rarely evolves in cardiac tamponade. The definitive

treatment requires a percutaneous or surgical intervention.⁶ In our case, considering the patient's advanced age and the high risk of an intervention to correct the coronary fistula, the cardiothoracic surgeon indicated a conservative treatment with close echocardiographic and clinical monitoring.

Shortness of breath is a common complaint among patients presenting to the ED. The etiology of this symptom is extremely varied, and the diagnosis often requires a specific diagnostic work-up. Only 1% of patients with unexplained dyspnea have a pericardial effusion requiring drainage.⁷

References

- 1. Dodge-Khatami A, Mavroudis C, Backer CL. Congenital Heart Surgery Nomenclature and Database Project: anomalies of the coronary arteries. Ann Thorac Surg 2000;69:S270-97.
- 2. Liu J, Konda S. Operative approach for right coronary artery to coronary sinus fistula. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent) 2020;33:276-7.
- 3. Stefanescu Schmidt AC, Redwood T, Alonso-Gonzalez R, et al. An unusual pair: coronary artery fistula and coronary sinus ostium stenosis as a cause of refractory angina. Eur Heart J Case Rep 2022;6:ytac121.
- 4. Ozeki S, Utsunomiya T, Kishi T, et al. Coronary arteriovenous fistula presenting as chronic pericardial effusion. Circ J 2002;66:779-82.
- 5. Habermann JH, Howard ML, Johnson ES. Rupture of the coronary sinus with hemopericardium. A rare complication of coronary arteriovenous fistula. Circulation 1963;28:1143-4.
- 6. Baumgartner H, De Backer J, Babu-Narayan SV, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of adult congenital heart disease. Eur Heart J 2021;42:563-645.
- 7. Blaivas M. Incidence of pericardial effusion in patients presenting to the emergency department with unexplained dyspnea. Acad Emerg Med 2001;8:1143-6.