ORIGINAL PAPER

The effect of vitamin D in vitro supplementation on sperm deoxyribonucleic acid fragmentation

Andri Rezano 1, 2, Ditto Rezkiawan 2, Vellyana Lie 2, Arya Srisadono 2, Rafly Mochamad Rivaldo 3, Amelia Reta Purba 3, Melia Juwita Adha 4, Tjahjo Djojo Tanojo 2, 5, Maria P.B.D. Pramesti 2, ⁶

¹ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Sumedang, West Java, Indonesia;

² Andrology Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia;

³ Medical Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Sumedang, West Java, Indonesia;

⁴ Holistic General Hospital, Purwakarta, West Java, Indonesia;

⁵ Andrology Clinic, Dr. Soetomo Academic Hospital, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia;

⁶ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia.

Objective: This study aimed to identify the direct effect of vitamin D on sperm DNA integrity after swim-up preparation. Summary

Materials and methods: Normozoospermia samples were gathered from 12 men and assessed for their baseline characteristics, including DNA Fragmentation Index (DFI). Each sample was then prepared using the swim-up method. Half of the samples were incubated with vitamin D, while the other half were incubated with a standard sperm-washing medium. Results: Vitamin D significantly reduced the DFI compared to the baseline (5.5 ± 3.4% versus 17.6 ± 4.2%; p < 0.001) and the swim-up-only group (5.5 ± 3.4% versus 12.0 ± 4.2%; p < 0.001). Microscopic examination reflected these results, showing a reduction in the number of small halos and no halos with an increased appearance of large to medium-sized halos. Conclusions: These results suggest that vitamin D incubation is valuable in protecting sperm from DNA damage that develops during sperm preparation. However, additional investigation is warranted to explore other preparation methods and to elucidate the underlying mechanisms.

KEY WORDS: Assisted reproductive technology; DNA damage; Male infertility; Swim up.

Submitted 2 August 2024; Accepted 26 August 2024

INTRODUCTION

Infertility is a significant global health issue, affecting approximately 1 in 7 couples, with contributions from both male and female factors equally distributed (1-3). Among the various factors contributing to male infertility, damage to sperm DNA is a critical concern, impacting 20-40% of subfertile men. This DNA damage can arise from several sources, including imperfections in the apoptosis process during spermatogenesis (4), protamination during spermiogenesis (5), and oxidative stress from various endogenous and exogenous factors (6). Excessive production of *reactive oxygen species* (ROS) beyond the body's antioxidant capacity can lead to significant damage to sperm function, causing lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and DNA damage (7, 8).

In the context of *assisted reproductive technology* (ART), sperm preparation aims to isolate spermatozoa with optimal morphology and motility for successful fertilization (9). However, the cellular structure and function of spermatozoa can be compromised during sperm preparation due to repeated centrifugation cycles, which induce ROS formation and result in the loss of seminal plasma antioxidants (10). Studies have shown that ROS production in normal spermatozoa can increase 2-5 times after indirect swim-up preparation, with exposure to ROS from 1-2 hours leading to a 2-4-fold increase in DNA damage (11). To mitigate these effects, sperm selection methods such as the swim-up technique are employed to reduce the main sources of intracellular ROS, namely leukocytes and immature sperm (12). According to the WHO laboratory manual, the swim-up method is a simple method that allows motile sperm to swim into an overlaid medium, separating them from non-motile spermatozoa. This method enhances sperm motility, average velocity, normal morphology and fertilization rates in vitro in mammals in compared to a lower fraction (13). However, simple washing or swim-ups from the pellet have been associated with a sudden burst of ROS production, reduced motility, and impaired sperm-oocyte fusion in the zonafree hamster oocyte penetration test (14).

Despite these challenges, the swim-up method has been shown to maintain better sperm DNA integrity compared to other procedures, such as density gradient centrifugation, demonstrating reduced DNA fragmentation and vacuolization (15, 16).

Antioxidant administration, including vitamins C, E, catalase and glutathione, has been suggested to protect sperm DNA integrity during preparation (17).

Specifically, serum vitamin D levels have been correlated with improvements in sperm number, motility, morphology, and DNA fragmentation (18). Vitamin D plays a crucial role in calcium regulation within the male reproductive system, and its activation in semen has been shown to enhance intracellular calcium levels, motility and acrosome reaction in spermatozoa (19). Additionally, vitamin D functions as a membrane antioxidant (20) and influences gene expression related to cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and oxidative stress through its receptors in the nucleus and post-acrosome of spermatozoa (21-23).

Although numerous studies have explored the role of vitamin D in the reproductive system, research specifically examining its impact on reducing the *DNA fragmentation index* (DFI) of human spermatozoa remains limited. The DFI is a crucial indicator of semen quality, reflecting the integrity and damage to sperm DNA, thereby detecting potential sperm damage (24). *Blaseg et al.* (2022) found no association between circulating vitamin D levels and human DFI. However, though the study was limited by using circulating vitamin D as a proxy for intratesticular levels (25). Additionally, many studies have not considered ART factors in their analyses (26, 27).

Therefore, this study aims to investigate the effect of vitamin D in vitro administration on sperm DFI in normozoospermic samples following sperm preparation using the swim-up method, addressing a critical gap in current reproductive research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 12 semen samples (n = 12) were included in this study, each with a volume of 3 mL. The inclusion criteria for selecting samples were men aged 26-35 years who agreed to participate and signed the informed consent, had abstained from ejaculation for 2-7 days, and were diagnosed with normozoospermia, characterized by a semen volume greater than 2 ml. *Baseline* (BL) characteristics assessed for each sample included patient age, body mass index, semen volume, sperm concentration, progressive motility, non-progressive motility, and DFI. Samples were collected using sterile, clean instruments maintained at the same temperature as the spermatozoa to prevent bacterial contamination, which can reduce sperm quality. All tools were sterilized, disinfected, wrapped in aluminium foil, and stored at 37°C until use. Following the WHO protocols (2021). Samples were collected via masturbation onto a sterile glass container and allowed to liquefy for 20-30 minutes. Semen volume, motility, and total sperm count were measured to ensure normal values before inclusion in the study.

After the initial assessment, sperm samples were prepared using the swim-up method. The prepared samples were

then divided into two groups: one undergoing swim-up preparation with vitamin D incubation (SD) and the other undergoing *swim-up* preparation only (SU). Unprepared samples (BL) were also included in the evaluation. Spermatozoa from all groups (BL, SD, and SU) were evaluated for DNA fragmentation index.

Sperm preparation

Sperm preparation using the swim-up method was performed by mixing semen and *Sperm Rinse™* medium (*Vitrolife, USA*) in a 1:1 ratio in a 5 ml tube. The mixture was then centrifuged at a speed of 400 G for 10 minutes. After centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended with 2 ml of *Sperm Rinse™* medium. The resuspended pellet was centrifuged again at a speed of 400 G for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded, and 2 ml of *SpermRinse™* medium was gently added to the pellet along the tube wall. The tube was then placed at 45° angle and incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes. Finally, 1 ml of the upper medium fraction was carefully transferred to two new tubes, with 500 µl in each tube, for further analysis (13).

Vitamin D incubation

A solution of active vitamin D, 1.25(OH)2D3 (Calcitriol, molecular weight 416.64), was prepared in 100% ethanol with a stock concentration of 10 μg/ml (24 μM) and stored in a -20°C. To analyse the effect of in vitro vitamin D administration on DNA fragmentation, 500 uL postpreparation spermatozoa were incubated with 1 nM $1.25(OH)2D3$ at 37°C, for 45 minutes (19). The working solution concentration was prepared in stages starting from 1 mM, 10 μM, 10 nM, and finally 1 nM. The control group without vitamin D was incubated with SpermRinse™ medium under the same conditions.

DNA fragmentation index

DNA Fragmentation was assessed using the DNA Fragmentation Kit (*SpermFunc®, BRED-002, BRED Life Science Technology Inc.*), following the manufacturer's protocol. Observations were made using a light microscope at 400X magnification to distinguish between unfragmented DNA (big and medium halos) and fragmented DNA (small halos, no halos, and damaged spermatozoa) in 500 spermatozoa cells. Normal sperm DNA presented

FIgure 1.

Determination of DNA fragmentation based on halo size (28). 1) The diameter of the core as the determinant of DNA fragmentation. 2) Large halo and 3) medium halo show spermatozoa without DNA fragmentation. 4) Small halo and 5) no halo indicating spermatozoa DNA fragmentation.

as medium (halo thickness smaller than the length but greater than one-third of the core minor diameter) to big halos (halo thickness equal to or greater than the length of the core minor diameter). In contrast, damaged/fragmented sperm DNA presented as no halos or small halos (halo thickness equal to or less than one-third of the core minor diameter) (Figure 1). The percentage of spermatozoa with DNA fragmentation was calculated as the *DNA Fragmentation Index* (DFI).

Statistics

Data were recorded and analysed to compare the effect of vitamin D incubation on sperm DNA integrity between the vitamin D-treated group (SD), the control group (SU), and the unprepared samples (BL). This comparison aimed to determine whether vitamin D supplementation during sperm preparation could enhance DNA integrity in spermatozoa, thus potentially improving outcomes in ART.

Statistical analyses were performed using the *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences* (SPSS) version 26.0 for Windows. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine whether the data were normally distributed ($p > 0.05$) or not normally distributed ($p < 0.05$). Differences in DFI values between groups were tested using paired t-test, and results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. A pvalue of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the *Health and Humanities Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangg*a (Code: 242/EC/KEPK/FKUA/2023). Samples were collected between August-October 2023.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

A total of 12 volunteers participated during the study period. All DFI data between groups were found to be normally distributed ($p > 0.05$). The mean age of volunteers was 30.9 years (30.9 \pm 2.6), reflecting the reproductive age of men. The *body mass index* (BMI) was 27.4 kg/m2 (27.4 ± 4.1), classified as level 1 obesity; the mean baseline DFI value was $17.6 \pm 4.2\%$, which falls within the normal range. Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

FIgure 2.

Evaluation of spermatozoa's DNA fragmentation in the BL, SU and SD groups. Image caption: a. Large halos; b. Medium halos; c. Small halos; d. No halos.

Table 1.

Age and semen profile of the study subjects.

Table 2.

Paired t-test results from each treatment group on DFI.

DFI between groups

A paired t-test was conducted to compare the DFI within each sample group (Table 2). The SU group exhibited a significantly reduced DFI (12.0 \pm 4.2%) compared to the BL characteristics (17.6 \pm 4.2%). Furthermore, the DFI was significantly decreased in the SD group $(5.5 \pm 3.4\%)$ compared to both the SU group $(12.0 \pm 4.2\%)$ and the initial BL $(17.6 \pm 4.2\%)$, with mean reductions of 6.5% and 12.1% respectively. These findings suggest that vitamin D incubation during sperm preparation resulted in a significant improvement in sperm DNA integrity compared to the control groups.

Evaluation of spermatozoa DNA fragmentation examination

In each group, variations in the appearance of a large halos, medium halos, small halos and no halos were observed in semen samples before and after preparation. Specifically, the presence of small halos and no halos was noticeably reduced in the post-preparation samples of the SD group (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

The decline in sperm quality and function due to increasing reproductive disorders globally is a significant concern in reproductive health. A recent study highlighting the impact of vitamin D deficiency on mouse fertility and subsequent improvements in semen parameters has shed new light on the role of vitamin D in male reproductive function (29). Numerous studies have emphasized the role of vitamin D in male reproductive health, particularly in enhancing sperm quality and motility (30).

Infertility affects approximately 15-20% of couples of reproductive age, with male factors contributing to around 50% of cases (31, 32). In our study, the average age of the sample population was 30.9 ± 2.6 years, consistent with previous research (33). Additionally, the average BMI of the participants fell within the category of level 1 obesity. Obesity is known to increase the risk of sperm DNA damage in infertile men due to its association with increased oxidative stress (34, 35).

Sperm DNA integrity is critical to successful fertilization and embryogenesis (36). However, previous studies have been limited in evaluating the functional status of spermatozoa (37), indicating the need for further research. In our study, despite having normal semen parameters, semen samples exhibited a DFI of $17.6 \pm 4.2\%$. This finding aligns with previous research conducted by *Halim et al.*, where the DFI before semen processing was 16.12% (13.48- 19.04) (38). Oxidative stress levels that are insufficient to induce cell death can still disrupt sperm function, highlighting the importance of addressing DNA fragmentation in infertility (39). The extent of DNA fragmentation's impact on fertilization depends on both the level of DNA damage and the DNA repair capacity of the oocyte (40).

Our study revealed that supplementation of vitamin D, calcitriol [1.25(OH)2D3] at a concentration of 1 nM after sperm preparation, led to a significant reduction in sperm DNA fragmentation by 6.5%. Notably, there was a synergistic effect between sperm preparation and vitamin D administration in decreasing DNA fragmentation compared to baseline levels. These findings differ from a study by *Moghadam et al.* (2019), which reported no repair of DNA damage with vitamin D administration after swim-up (41). This discrepancy may be attributed to differences in the DNA damage examination methods used, with the sperm chromatin dispersion method proving more effective than the TUNEL method in diagnosing sperm DNA damage in unexplained infertility (40).

The protective mechanism of vitamin D on sperm DNA integrity can be explained in several ways. Firstly, the hydrophobic part of vitamin D binds to fatty acid residues on the spermatozoa membrane, thereby protecting membrane integrity (20). Additionally, vitamin D has been shown to enhance the integrity of spermatozoa membranes during cryopreservation by reducing intracellular ROS levels (41). VDR expression in testes and spermatozoa, along with cellular uptake of circulating vitamin D, play crucial roles in regulating spermatozoa motility and acrosome function (19). Moreover, administration of 20,000 nM of vitamin D has been associated with increased expression of heat shock protein 70 (HSP70), a marker of oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation, indicating a dose-response relationship of vitamin D as an antioxidant (42).

While our study supports the role of antioxidants in maintaining sperm chromatin integrity during sperm preparation, definitive conclusions cannot be drawn due to certain limitations. This study did not directly examine intraspermatozoal ROS levels and endogenous antioxidants. Future research should address these factors and analyse abnormal semen samples to further elucidate the role of vitamin D in infertility. Additionally, investigations into other variables, such as ROS levels, antioxidants, lipid peroxidation, and acrosome reactions, as well as their impacts on fertilization outcomes, pregnancy, and embryo development, are warranted. Comparative studies between swim-up and other sperm selection procedures, such as density gradient centrifugation, are also needed to evaluate their efficacy and drawbacks in reducing DNA damage with vitamin D administration.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated that DFI significantly decreased following sperm preparation using the swim-up method. Additionally, the DFI further decreased significantly in the group treated with vitamin D compared to both the pre-preparation and post-preparation without vitamin D administration groups.

These findings highlight the protective role of vitamin D against DNA damage incurred during sperm preparation. However, further studies are necessary to elucidate the underlying mechanism of this protective effect and to compare the efficacy of vitamin D supplementation with other sperm preparation methods. Future research should also consider examining different variables such as ROS levels, antioxidants, lipid peroxidation, acrosome reactions, and their impacts on fertilization outcomes, pregnancy, and embryo development.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express sincere gratitude to the staff at the *Department of Biomedical Sciences Faculty of Medicine Universitas Airlangga and Andrology Clinic, Dr. Soetomo Academic Hospital*, for their invaluable assistance and support throughout this study.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal A, Baskaran S, Parekh N, et al. Male infertility. Lancet 2021; 397:319-33.

2. Turner KA, Rambhatla A, Schon S, et al. Male Infertility is a Women's Health Issue-Research and Clinical Evaluation of Male Infertility Is Needed. Cells 2020; 9:990.

3. World Health Organization. 2020. Infertility. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/infertility, accessed May 2024.

4. Asadi A, Ghahremani R, Abdolmaleki A, Rajaei F. Role of sperm apoptosis and oxidative stress in male infertility: A narrative review. Int J Reprod Biomed 2021; 19:493-504.

5. Agarwal A, Selvam MK, Baskaran S, Cho CL. Sperm DNA damage and its impact on male reproductive health: a critical review for clinicians, reproductive professionals and researchers. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2019; 19:443-57.

6. Ribas-Maynou J, Yeste M. Oxidative Stress in Male Infertility: Causes, Effects in Assisted Reproductive Techniques, and Protective Support of Antioxidants. Biology (Basel) 2020; 9:77

7. Gualtieri R, Kalthur G, Barbato V, et al. Sperm Oxidative Stress during In Vitro Manipulation and Its Effects on Sperm Function and Embryo Development. Antioxidants (Basel) 2021; 10.1025

8. Dutta S, Majzoub A, Agarwal A. Oxidative stress and sperm function: A systematic review on evaluation and management. Arab J Urol 2019; 17:87-97.

9. Marzano G, Chiriacò MS, Primiceri E, et al. Sperm selection in assisted reproduction: A review of established methods and cuttingedge possibilities. Biotechnol Adv 2020; 40:107498.

10. Aitken RJ, Drevet JR. The Importance of Oxidative Stress in Determining the Functionality of Mammalian Spermatozoa: A Two-Edged Sword. Antioxidants 2020; 9:111.

11. Natali, I. Sperm Preparation Techniques for Artificial Insemination - Comparison of Sperm Washing, Swim Up, and Density Gradient Centrifugation Methods. In: Manafi, M, eds. Artificial Insemination in Farm Animals. IntechOpen; 2011; Available from: https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/16102

12. Wildy ML, Boyd L, Fourie J, et al. The Development of a Simplified Swim-up Method for Sperm Processing. Journal of Infertility and Reproductive Biology 2021; 9:160-7.

13. World Health Organization. WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen. Geneva: World Health Organization 2021; 6:1-276.

14. Ozkavukcu S, Hughes G, Barratt CL. Sperm Preparation Techniques and Advanced Sperm Selection for Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection. In: Textbook of Assisted Reproductive Techniques: Volume 1: Laboratory Perspectives, Sixth Edition. 2023; 1:58-69.

15. Xue X, Wang WS, Shi JZ, et al. Efficacy of swim-up versus density gradient centrifugation in improving sperm deformity rate and DNA fragmentation index in semen samples from teratozoospermic patients. J Assist Reprod Genet 2014; 31:1161-6.

16. Raad G, Bakos HW, Bazzi M, et al. Differential impact of four sperm preparation techniques on sperm motility, morphology, DNA fragmentation, acrosome status, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial activity: A prospective study. Andrology 2021; 9:1549-59.

17. Beygi Z, Forouhari S, Mahmoudi E, et al. Role of Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Supplementation in Male Fertility. Curr Mol Med 2021; 21:265-82.

18. Güngör K, Güngör ND, Ba ar MM, et al. Relationship between serum vitamin D levels semen parameters and sperm DNA damage in men with unexplained infertility. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2022; 26:499-505.

19. Jensen MB, Bjerrum PJ, Jessen TE, et al. Vitamin D is positively associated with sperm motility and increases intracellular calcium in human spermatozoa. Hum Reprod 2011; 26:1307-17.

20. Wiseman H. Vitamin D is a membrane antioxidant. Ability to inhibit iron-dependent lipid peroxidation in liposomes compared to cholesterol, ergosterol and tamoxifen and relevance to anticancer action. FEBS Lett 1993; 326:285-8.

21. Zmijewski MA. Nongenomic Activities of Vitamin D. Nutrients 2022; 14:5104.

22. Hanel A, Carlberg C. Vitamin D and evolution: Pharmacologic implications. Biochem Pharmacol 2020; 173:113595.

23. Gasperini B, Falvino A, Piccirilli E, et al. Methylation of the

Vitamin D Receptor Gene in Human Disorders. Int J Mol Sci 2024; 25:107.

24. Yang H, Li G, Jin H, et al. The effect of sperm DNA fragmentation index on assisted reproductive technology outcomes and its relationship with semen parameters and lifestyle. Transl Androl Urol 2019; 8:356.

25. Blaseg E, Wald TV, Hansen KA. Vitamin D levels and human sperm DNA fragmentation: a prospective, cohort study. Basic Clin Androl 2022; 32:14.

26. Banks N, Sun F, Krawetz SA, et al. Male vitamin D status and male factor infertility. Fertil Steril 2021; 116:973-9.

27. Maghsoumi-Norouzabad L, Zare Javid A, Mansoori A, et al. Evaluation of the effect of vitamin D supplementation on spermatogram, seminal and serum levels of oxidative stress indices in asthenospermia infertile men: a study protocol for a triple-blind, randomized controlled trial. Nutrition Journal 2021; 20:1-11

28. Zeqiraj A, Beadini S, Beadini N, et al. Sperm DNA Fragmentation, Determined Using the Sperm Chromatin Dispersion (SCD) Test, A Study in Republic of Kosovo Population. Int J Biol 2018; 10:14-8.

29. Cito G, Cocci A, Micelli E, et al. Vitamin D and Male Fertility: An Updated Review. World J Mens Health 2020; 38:164-77.

30. Adamczewska D, Słowikowska-Hilczer J, Walczak-Jedrzejowska R. The Association between Vitamin D and the Components of Male Fertility: A Systematic Review. Biomedicines 2022; 11:90.

31. Amorini AM, Listorti I, Bilotta G, et al. Antioxidant-Based Therapies in Male Infertility: Do We Have Sufficient Evidence Supporting Their Effectiveness? Antioxidants 2021; 10:220.

32. Babakhanzadeh E, Nazari M, Ghasemifar S, Khodadadian A. Some of the Factors Involved in Male Infertility: A Prospective Review. Int J Gen Med 2020; 13:29-41.

33. Halim B, Girsang E, Nasution SLR, Manalu P. Hambatan Akses Pelayanan Infertilitas pada Pasien dari Kawasan Urban dan Rural yang Berobat di Klinik Bayi Tabung Halim Fertility Center RSIA Stella Maris. Media Kesehatan Masyarakat Indonesia 2020; 19:272-8.

34. Pakpahan C, Rezano A, Margiana R, et al. The Association Between Lipid Serum and Semen Parameters: a Systematic Review. Reprod Sci 2023; 30:761-71.

35. Karimi E, Heshmati J, Shirzad N, et al. The effect of synbiotics supplementation on anthropometric indicators and lipid profiles in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Lipids Health Dis 2020; 19:60.

36. Barbarosie C, Agarwal A, Henkel R. Diagnostic value of advanced semen analysis in evaluation of male infertility. Andrologia 2021; 53:e13625.

37. Ayad BM, Oyeyipo IP, Van der Horst G, Du Plessis SS. Cementing the relationship between conventional and advanced semen parameters. Middle East Fertil Soc J 2021; 26:1-10.

38. Aitken RJ. Impact of oxidative stress on male and female germ cells: implications for fertility. Reproduction 2020; 159:R189-201

39. Halim B, Angellee J, Lubis HP, Bachsinar B. Sperm Quality and Deoxyribonucleic Acid Fragmentation after 5 and 10 min Centrifugation with Swim-Up Processing Technique: A Prospective Cohort Study. Open Access Maced J Med Sci 2021; 9:626-30.

40. Agarwal A, Farkouh A, Saleh R, et al. Technical Aspects and Clinical Limitations of Sperm DNA Fragmentation Testing in Male Infertility: A Global Survey, Current Guidelines, and Expert Recommendations. World J Mens Health 2024; 42:202-15.

Archivio Italiano di Urologia e Andrologia 2024; 96(4):12891

41. Moghadam MT, Fard YA, Saki G, Nikbakht R. Effect of vitamin D on apoptotic marker, reactive oxygen species and human sperm parameters during the process of cryopreservation. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2019; 22:1036.

42. Moghadam MT, Hamidian O, Mansouri E, Nikbakht R. Effects of vitamin D3 on the level of heat shock protein 70 and oxidative stress in human sperm: a pilot study. Middle East Fertil Soc J 2020; 25:1-8.

Correspondence

Andri Rezano, MD-Andrologist, PhD, Associate Professor andri.rezano@unpad.ac.id Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Padjadjaran Jl. Ir. Soekarno KM. 21 Jatinangor, Sumedang 45363, West Java, Indonesia

Ditto Rezkiawan ditto.rezkiawan-2021@fk.unair.ac.id *Vellyana Lie* vellyana.lie-2022@fk.unair.ac.id *Arya Srisadono* arya.srisadono-2022@fk.unair.ac.id *Tjahjo Djojo Tanojo* tjahjodjojo@gmail.com *Maria PBD Pramesti* pramestidyan@gmail.com Andrology Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60132, East Java, Indonesia

Mochamad Rivaldo rafly22001@mail.unpad.ac.id Medical Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Sumedang, 45363, West Java, Indonesia

Amelia Reta Purba amelia23007@mail.unpad.ac.id Medical Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran, Sumedang 45363, West Java, Indonesia

Melia Juwita Adha meliarezano@gmail.com Holistic General Hospital, Purwakarta 41115, West Java, Indonesia

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Archivio Italiano di Urologia e Andrologia 2024; 96(4):12891