ORIGINAL PAPER

Evaluation of the effect of daily tadalafil 5 mg versus daily sildenafil 25 mg on neutrophil-lymphocyte and platelet-lymphocyte ratios in patients with erectile dysfunction: A comparative randomized controlled study

Abdel Rahman Bakry¹, Ali Mohamed Mahran¹, Hisham Diab Gaber¹, Mohamed Ismail Sedek², Sameh Fayek GamalEl Din³, Ahmad Tarek Motawi³, Mohamed Diab Mohamed¹, Ahmed Elshebany¹

¹ Department of Andrology & STDs, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt;

² Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt;

³ Department of Andrology & STDs Kasr Alainy Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

Summary Background: Previous studies had shown that the neutrophils/lymphocytes (NLR) and platelets/lymphocytes (PLR) ratios could be used as markers of inflammatory load as well as prognostic factors in several medical conditions. The current study aimed to compare between the effect of using daily tadalafil 5 mg/day versus daily sildenafil 25 mg/day in improving erectile function as well as their ability to reduce NLR and PLR.

Methods: One hundred and four participants were recruited. Seventy-four randomized patients with erectile dysfunction were equally divided into 2 groups. Patients in group A used daily tadalafil 5 mg for 2 months while patients in group B used daily sildenafil 25 mg for 2 months. Patients were collected from June 2022 to June 2023. Thirty healthy individuals served as controls. All patients and controls were evaluated using the validated Arabic version of the international index of erectile function (ArIIEF-5) at baseline and after 2 months of medical treatment. Five cc of venous blood sample was obtained before and after 2 months of medical treatment to compare the effect of phosphodiestrase type 5 inhibitors (PDE-5Is) intake for erectile dysfunction on PLR and NLR before and after treatment. Results: The current study showed that there were no statistically significant differences between the cases and the controls apart from the ArIIEF-5 scores. Moreover, there was no significant difference between patients in group A and those in group B regarding PLR and NLR post administration of PDE-5Is. Interestingly, patients in group A demonstrated a highly significant difference between the ArIIEF-5 scores as well as the PLR and the NLR before and 2 months after administration of daily tadalafil 5 mg. On the other hand, patients in group B who were administrated daily sildenafil 25 mg for 2 months demonstrated only a highly significant difference between the ArIIEF-5 scores before and after administration. Meanwhile, patients in group B did not reveal any statistically significant difference in the PLR and the NLR before and 2 months after administration of sildenafil 25 mg. Further regression analysis after adjustment of different variables of the study showed a significant correlation between ArIIEF-5 and PLR in patients who received daily tadalafil 5 mg (r = 0.430, p = 0.004).

Conclusions: Tadalafil and sildenafil have similar clinical efficacy in treating erectile dysfunction. However, tadalafil is more effective in lowering PLR and NLR compared to sildenafil. **KEY WORDS:** Erectile dysfunction; neutrophils/lymphocytes (NLR); platelets/lymphocytes (PLR); tadalafil; sildenafil.

Submitted 27 June 2024; Accepted 9 July 2024

INTRODUCTION

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is an inability to provide adequate erection to initiate or maintain any sexual activity (1). ED prevalence in adult males is approximately 20% (2). Previous studies had shown that the neutrophils/lymphocytes (NLR) and platelets/lymphocytes (PLR) ratios could be used as markers of inflammatory load as well as prognostic factors in several medical conditions (3-4). The common pathophysiological conditions underlying ED, CVD and other vascular diseases included inflammation, atherosclerosis and endothelial dysfunction (5). The NLR and PLR were known to be potential markers of inflammation in vascular diseases and inflammation play a critical role in the initiation and development of vascular endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis (6). Neutrophils produce and secrete several inflammatory mediators including myeloperoxidase (MPO) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) which can be responsible of myocardial and non-myocardial tissue damages (7).

Additionally, platelets release numerous inflammatory mediators that modify leukocyte and endothelial responses via different inflammatory stimuli (8).

A modern study had revealed that NLR and PLR had been proposed as biomarkers of subclinical inflammation and many studies had investigated these ratios (9). A previous study had demonstrated that onset and severity of ED had been attributed to increased levels of inflammatory biomarkers (10). In the same context, NLR and PLR were used as signs of inflammation together with a significant relationship between development of ED and these inflammatory markers (11). Previous studies reported a chronic effect of sildenafil and tadalafil on endothelial function and pro-inflammatory markers (12). *Das* (2007) stated that different cohorts of ED patients were associated with increased levels of inflammatory markers as well as the significant ability of oral *phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors* (PDE-5Is) to decrease these markers (13). The current study aimed to compare between the effect of using daily tadalafil 5 mg/day versus daily sildenafil 25 mg/day in improving erectile function as well as their ability to reduce NLR and PLR.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

One hundred and four participants with *erectile dysfunction* (ED) were recruited. Seventy-four randomized patients were equally divided into 2 groups. Thirty healthy individuals served as controls. Patients in group A used daily tadalafil 5 mg for 2 months while patients in group B used daily sildenafil 25 mg for 2 months. Patients were collected from the andrology outpatient clinic, *Assiut University Hospital* from June 2022 to June 2023. The institutional review board approved the study (17101846) that conforms to Helsiniki declaration 2013 (14). All Patients signed a written informed consent after explaining the steps and the aim of the study. They were randomized by simple numbering method.

Inclusion criteria of the patients

Any male patient aged 25 to 60 years in a stable relationship and suffering from ED was included.

Exclusion criteria of the patients

Patients who suffered from severe uncontrolled medical conditions, patients under treatment with sublingual nitrate, patients with blood diseases that affect sexual function and patients using cytotoxic drugs or immunosuppressive drugs were excluded. Also, patients without partners were excluded.

Inclusion criteria of the controls

They were age matched potent controls who attended the outpatient clinic for fertility checkup.

All participants were subjected to the following steps. Medical as well as sexual and surgical histories were obtained. General and local examinations were done. All patients and controls were evaluated using the validated *Arabic version of the international index of erectile function* (ArIIEF-5) at baseline and after 2 months of medical treatment (15). Five cc of venous blood sample was obtained before and after 2 months of medical treatment by using sterile 5 ml syringe then the sample poured into EDTA vacuum tube. Then gentle mixing was performed to obtain complete blood count using CELL-DYN haematology analyzer device to compare the effect of PDE-5Is intake for ED on PLR and NLR before and after treatment. Patients in group A received daily tadalafil 5 mg while patients in group B received daily sildenafil 25 mg for 2 months.

Statistical analysis

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0 (*Armonk, NY: IBM Corp*). Qualitative data were described using number and percent. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to verify the normality of distribution. Quantitative data were described using range (minimum and maximum), mean,

standard deviation, median and *inter-quartile range* (IQR). Chi-square test was used for categorical variables, to compare between different groups. Student t-test was used for normally distributed quantitative variables and to compare between two studied groups. Paired t-test was used for normally distributed quantitative variables and to compare between two repeated measures. Mann-Whitney test was used for nonparametric quantitative variables and to compare between two studied groups. Wilcoxon test was used for nonparametric quantitative variables and to compare between two repeated measures. Significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level.

RESULTS

Table 1 showed that there were no statistically significant differences between the cases and the controls apart from the ArIIEF-5 scores. Furthermore, the current study revealed that there was no statistically significant difference between patients in group A and those in group B regarding the ArIIEF-5 prior to administration of PDE5-Is (Table 2). Also, the current study demonstrated that there were no statistically significant differences between patients in group A and those in group B regarding PLR and NLR prior to administration of PDE5-Is (Table 2). Conversely, there was no statistically significant differences between patients in group A and those in group B regarding there was no statistically significant differences between patients in group A and those in group B regarding the ArIIEF-5 after intake of PDE5-Is (Table 3).

Table 1.

Socio-demographic data of the participants.

	Tadalafil Mean SD	Sildenafil Mean SD	Controls Mean SD	P-value
Age	44.2 ± 6.9	45.7 ± 6.1	45.1 6.5	0.602
ArlIEF5 before	10.8 ± 4.7	10.2 ± 4.8	23.9 ± 0.9	0.000
PLR before	126.3 ± 36	117.3 ± 36.9	110 ± 46.9	0.206
NLR before	2.2 ± 1.17	2 ± 1.19	1.9 ± 1.4	0.686
ArlIEF5 = the validate NLR = neutrophils/lyn	d Arabic version of the inter nphocyte ratio.	national index of erectile fu	nction; PLR = platelets/lymp	hocyte ratio;

Table 2.

		Group A - Tadalafil (n = 37)		Group B (n =	Р	
ArlIEF-5	Range	5-20		5-19		0.507
	Median (IQR)	9 (7-15)		8 (6-15)		
Erectile dysfunction		N	%	N	%	
No		0	0	0	0	0.741
Mild		7	18.9	7	18.9	
Moderate		17	45.9	14	37.8	
Severe		13	35.1	16	43.2	
PLR	Range	45.6-185		60-194		0.296
	Mean ± SD	126.25	5 ± 36.04	117.33 ± 36.91		
NLR	Range	0.48-3.9 2.1 (1-3.2)		0.56-4.3		0.384
	Median (IQR)			1.8 (0.8-3.1)		

ArlIEF-5 and NLR and PLR among studied cases before intervention.

Table 3.

ArlIEF-5 and NLR and PLR among studied cases post intervention.

		Group A (n	- Tadalafil = 37)	Group B (n =	· Sildenafil = 37)	P
ArIIEF-5	Range	5-24		5-24		0.102
	Median (IQR)	20 (17-22)		18 (10-22)		
Erectile dysfunction		N	%	N	%	
No		17	45.9	11	29.7	0.323
Mild		12	32.4	11	29.7	
Moderate		4	10.8	7	18.9	
Severe		4	10.8	8	21.6	
PLR	Range	31.6-148 43.8-182.5		-182.5	0.197	
	Mean ± SD	99.7	'3 ± 24	108.58 ± 33.69		
NLR	Range	0.38-3.52		0.56-4.5		0.523
	Median (IQR)	1.1 (1-1.4)		1.1 (0.7-1.9)		
ArlIEF5 = the validated A NLR = neutrophils/lymph	Arabic version of the in locyte ratio; IQR: Inte	nternational ind rquartile range.	lex of erectile fun	ction; PLR = pla	telets/lymphocyt	e ratio;

Table 4.

ArIIEF-5 and NLR and PLR pre and post-intervention in group A.

Group A who received tadalafil 5 mg		Pre-intervention (n = 37)		Post-intervention (n = 37)		Р
ArlIEF-5 Range		5-20		5-24		< 0.001*
	Median (IQR)	9 (7-15)		20 (17-22)		
Erectile dysfunction		N	%	N	%	
No		0	0	17	45.9	< 0.001*
Mild		7	18.9	12	32.4	
Moderate		17	45.9	4	10.8	
Severe		13	35.1	4	10.8	
PLR	Range	45.6-185		31.6-148		< 0.001*
	$Mean \pm SD$	126.25	5 ± 36.04	99.73 ± 24		
NLR	Range	0.48-3.9		0.38-3.52		< 0.001*
	Median (IQR)	2.1 (1-3.2)		1.1 (1-1.4)		

Moreover, there was no significant difference between patients in group A and those in group B regarding PLR and NLR post administration of PDE5-Is (Table 3). Interestingly, patients in group A demonstrated a highly significant difference between the ArIIEF-5 scores as well as the PLR and the NLR before and 2 months after administration of daily tadalafil 5 mg (Table 4).

On the other hand, patients in group B who were administrated daily sildenafil 25 mg for 2 months demonstrated only a highly significant difference between the ArIIEF-5 scores before and after administration (Table 5). Meanwhile, patients in group B did not reveal any statistically significant difference in the PLR and the NLR before and 2 months after administration of sildenafil 25 mg (Table 5).

Further regression analysis after adjustment of different variables of the study showed a significant correlation between ArIIEF-5 and PLR in patients in group A who received daily tadalafil 5 mg (r = 0.430, p = 0.004) (Table 6). It should be mentioned that no other correlation was observed (Table 6).

Table 5.

ArlIEF-5 and PLR and NLR pre and post-intervention in group B.

Group B who received tadalafil 25 mg		Pre-intervention (n = 37)		Post-intervention (n = 37)		Р	
ArIIEF-5	Range	5-19		5-24		< 0.001*	
	Median (IQR)	8 (6-15)		18 (10-22)			
Erectile dysfunction		N	%	N	%		
No		0	0	11	29.7	< 0.001*	
Mild		7	18.9	11	29.7		
Moderate		14	37.8	7	18.9		
Severe		16	43.2	8	21.6		
PLR	Range	60-194		43.8-182.5		0.229	
	Mean ± SD	117.33 ± 36.91		108.58 ± 33.69			
NLR	Range	0.56-4.3		0.56-4.5		0.368	
	Median (IQR)	1.8 (0.8-3.1)		1.1 (0.7-1.9)			
ArIIEF5 = the validated Arabic version of the international index of erectile function; PLR = platelets/lymphocyte ratio; NLR = neutrophils/lymphocyte ratio; IQR: Interquartile range.							

Table 6.

PLR and NLR and smoking in both groups and tadalafil and sildenafil post intervention.

ArIIEF-5		PLR	NLR	Smoking		
Tadalafil	Pearson correlation	0.430	0.029	0.072		
	P value	0.004	0.433	0.336		
Sildenafil	Pearson correlation	0.168	-0.066	- 0.061		
	P value	0.161	0.349	0.360		
ArlIEF5 = the validated Arabic version of the international index of erectile function; PLR = platelets/lymphocyte ratio.						

DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrated that baseline PLR and NLR were higher in the patients compared to the controls. However, they did not show any significant difference. Consistently, Demirci and Ozgur (2019) found that the median NLR level and PLR level were higher when compared to controls in mild-moderate ED and severe ED groups (16). Similarly, Aslan et al. (2019) found that NLR was higher in the patients compared to the controls (17) and that it also predicted ED and it might be helpful in diagnosing ED (17). Zhang et al. (2022) reported that NLR and PLR were significantly higher in ED patients compared with healthy controls (18) and Ventimiglia et al. (2018) determined that NLR was 3 times higher in severe ED patients and that they were independent predictors (19). A modern retrospective study conducted by Akbaş et al. (2016) showed that the PLR value increased proportionately with the severity of ED (20).

Our study did not show any statistically significant difference between the studied groups regarding PLR and NLR post-intervention. *Kilic et al.* (2023) reported that there was no significant difference in NLR or PLR between ED patients who did not respond to PDE5I treatment and controls who responded to treatment (21) and that there was a highly significant difference between ArIIEF-5 scores before and after intervention in the studied groups who received daily tadalafil 5 mg and daily sildenafil 25 mg. Similarly, *Gong et al.* (2017) revealed that sildenafil and tadalafil had equivalent abilities to improve IIEF scores (22). However, *Rubio-Aurioles et al.* (2012) revealed that the time concerns domain score of the *Psychological and Interpersonal Relationship Scales* (PAIRS) was significantly lower for daily tadalafil 5 mg compared with sildenafil on demand treatment (23) showing that tadalafil improves sexual confidence more efficiently than sildenafil (23).

Interestingly, our patients in group A who received daily tadalafil 5 mg for 2 months showed a high statistically significant difference between pre and post-intervention PLR and NLR. Meanwhile, patients in group B who received daily sildenafil 25 mg for 2 months did not show any statistically significant difference between pre and post-intervention PLR and NLR. Consistently, La Vignera et al. (2014) showed that tadalafil has a preventive effect on both endothelial apoptosis and excessive platelet adhesion in ED patients (24). Furthermore, a recent Egyptian study demonstrated that daily tadalafil 5 mg supplementation lowers these markers with significant improvement in the erectile function (25). The superiority of tadalafil versus sildenafil in lowering PLR and NLR in the current study might be explained by the shorter half-life of sildenafil but no available data support this hypothesis that needs further investigations.

Although tadalafil and sildenafil showed significant improvement in improving the ArIIEF-5 scores, yet, tadalafil was superior to sildenafil in lowering the studied inflammatory markers. A previous systematic review conducted by Mirone et al. (2008) stated that tadalafil was preferred by the patients compared to sildenafil for several reasons (26). Firstly, patients preferred tadalafil owing to its flexibility (27). Secondly, tadalafil was also preferred owing to its better tolerance (28). Finally, tadalafil was preferred by the patients for its higher efficacy (28-29). To the best of our knowledge, the current study is one of the first to demonstrate higher efficacy of tadalafil compared to sildenafil in lowering NLR and PLR. However, there were several limitations of the current study that should be acknowledged. The sample size was relatively small and the patients were followed up for short duration.

CONCLUSIONS

Tadalafil and sildenafil have similar clinical efficacy in treating ED. However, tadalafil is more effective in lowering PLR and NLR compared to sildenafil. Future studies are required to replicate this finding as it may add superiority to tadalafil compared to sildenafil.

REFERENCES

1. Impotence NIH Consensus Development Panel on Impotence. JAMA1993; 270:83-90.

2. Burnett AL. Evaluation and management of erectile dysfunction. In Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, & Peters Içinde CA (Eds.), Campell–Walsh Urology. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier. 2012; pp. 721-748.

3. Bhat T, Teli S, Rijal J, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and cardiovascular diseases: a review. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2013; 11:55-9.

4. Feng JR, Qiu X, Wang F, et al. Diagnostic Value of Neutrophil-to-

Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio in Crohn's Disease. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2017; 2017:3526460.

5. Zhang Y, Feng X, Wu X, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis of the relationship between erectile dysfunction and the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios. Andrologia. 2022; 54:e14337.

6. Liao Z, Tang Y, Li X, Li D. The relationship between hematologic parameters and erectile dysfunction. Sex Med. 2021; 9:100401.

7. Winterbourn CC, Kettle AJ, Hampton MB. Reactive Oxygen Species and Neutrophil Function. Annu Rev Biochem. 2016; 85:765-92.

8. Thomas MR, Storey RF. The role of platelets in inflammation. Thromb Haemost. 2015; 114:449-58.

9. Karabakan M, Bozkurt A. Relationship Between Erectile Dysfunction, the Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio, and the Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio. J Acad Res Med. 2019; 9:27-31.

10. Furuncuoğlu Y, Tulgar S, Dogan AN, et al. How obesity affects the neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratio, systemic immune-inflammatory index and platelet indices: a retrospective study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2016; 20:1300-6.

11. Diniz LR, de Lima SG, de Amorim Garcia JM and de Oliveira Diniz KL. Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio as a Prognostic Predictor in Older People With Acute Coronary Syndrome. Angiology. 2019; 70:264-71.

12. Santi D, Giannetta E, Isidori AM, et al. Therapy of endocrine disease: effects of chronic use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors on endothelial markers in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. Eur J Endocrinol. 2015; 172:R103-14.

13. Das UN. Is erectile dysfunction a low-grade systemic inflammatory condition? Eur Heart J. 2007; 28:642-3.

14. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA. 2013; 310: 2191-2194.

15. Shamloul R, Ghanem H, Abou-Zeid A. Validity of the Arabic version of the sexual health inventory for men among Egyptians. Int J Impot Res. 2004; 16:452-5.

16. Demirci A, Ozgur BC. The effect of using tadalafil 5 mg/day on neutrophil-lymphocyte and platelet-lymphocyte ratios in mild-medium and severe erectile dysfunction patients; and comparison of clinical response. Andrologia. 2019; 51:e13347.

17. Aslan A, Kaya Y, Cirakoglu A, et al. Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio Could Be a Marker for Erectile Dysfunction. Urol J. 2019; 16:216-20.

18. Zhang Y, Feng X, Wu X, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis of the relationship between erectile dysfunction and the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios. Andrologia. 2022; 54:e14337.

19. Ventimiglia E, Cazzaniga W, Pederzoli F, et al. The role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in men with erectile dysfunction—preliminary findings of a real-life cross-sectional study. Andrology. 2018; 6:559-63.

20. Akbas A, Gulpinar MT, Sancak EB, et al. The relationship between platelet-lymphocyte ratio and severity of erectile dysfunction. Kaohsiung J Med Sci. 2016; 32:91-5.

21. Kilic M, Erkan A, Zengin S, et al. Inflammatory biomarkers may predict response to phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor treatment in patients with erectile dysfunction. Investig Clin Urol. 2023; 64:404-411.

22. Gong B, Ma M, Xie W, et al. Direct comparison of tadalafil with

sildenafil for the treatment of erectile dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Urol Nephrol. 2017; 49:1731-40.

23. Rubio-Aurioles E, Porst H, Kim ED, et al. A randomized openlabel trial with a crossover comparison of sexual self-confidence and other treatment outcomes following tadalafil once a day vs. tadalafil or sildenafil on-demand in men with erectile dysfunction. J Sex Med. 2012; 9:1418-29.

24. La Vignera S, Condorelli RA, Burgio G, et al. Functional characterization of platelets in patients with arterial erectile dysfunction. Andrology. 2014; 2:709-15.

25. GamalEl Din SF, Nabil Ismail N, Moawad HH, et al. Evaluation of tadalafil supplementation on the neutrophil/lymphocyte and the platelet/lymphocyte ratios in patients with erectile dysfunction: A prospective study. Urologia. 2024; 91:598-603.

26. Mirone V, Fusco F, Rossi A, et al. Tadalafil and vardenafil vs sildenafil: a review of patient-preference studies. BJU Int. 2009; 103:1212-7.

27. Von Keitz A, Rajfer J, Segal S, et al. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, crossover study to evaluate patient preference between tadalafil and sildenafil. Eur Urol 2004; 45:499-509

28. Eardley I, Montorsi F, Jackson G, et al. Factors associated with preference for sildenafil citrate and tadalafil for treating erectile dysfunction in men naive to phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor therapy: post hoc analysis of data from a multicentre, randomized, open-label, crossover study. BJU Int 2007; 100:122-9.

29. Eardley I, Mirone V, Montorsi F, et al. An open-label, multicentre, randomized, crossover study comparing sildenafil citrate and tadalafil for treating erectile dysfunction in men naïve to phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor therapy. Sex Med 2005; 96:1323-32.

30. Rodriguez Tolra JR, Cuadrado Campaña JM, Fumadó Ciutat L, Franco Miranda E. Prospective, randomized, open-label, fixed dose, crossover study to establish preference of patients with erectile dysfunction after taking the three PDE-5 inhibitors. J Sex Med 2006; 3:901-9.

Correspondence

Abdel Rahman Bakry, MD Ali Mohamed Mahran, MD Hisham Diab Gaber, MD Mohamed Diab Mohamed, MSc Ahmed Elshebany, MD Department of Andrology & STDs, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

Mohamed Ismail Sedek, MD Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

Ahmad Tarek Motawi, MD Sameh Fayek GamalEl Din, MD (Corresponding Author) samehfayek@kasralainy.edu.eg Department of Andrology & STDs Kasr Al-Ainy, Faculty of Medicine Cairo University, Al-Saray Street, El Manial, Cairo, 11956, Egypt

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Archivio Italiano di Urologia e Andrologia 2024; 96(4):12756