

## ORIGINAL PAPER

# Negative biopsy histology in men with PI-RADS score 5: Is it useful PSMA PET/CT evaluation?

Pietro Pepe<sup>1</sup>, Ludovica Pepe<sup>2</sup>, Michele Pennisi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Urology Unit, Cannizzaro Hospital, Catania, Italy;

<sup>2</sup> Department of Human Pathology in Adult and Developmental Age "Gaetano Barresi", University of Messina, Italy.

## Summary

**Introduction:** To evaluate the accuracy of PSMA PET/CT in men with mpMRI PI-RADS

score 5 negative biopsy histology.

**Materials and methods:** From January 2011 to January 2023, 180 men with PI-RADS score 5 underwent systematic plus mpMRI/TRUS biopsy; 25/180 (13.9%) patients had absence of cancer and six months from biopsy were submitted to: digital rectal examination, PSA and PSA density exams, mpMRI and 68GaPSMA PET/CT evaluation (standardized uptake value "SUVmax" was reported).

**Results:** In 24/25 (96%) patients PSA and PSA density significantly decreased, moreover, the PI-RADS score was downgraded resulting < 3; in addition, median SUVmax was 7.5. Only 1/25 (4%) man had an increased PSA value (from 10.5 to 31 ng/ml) with a confirmed PI-RADS score 5, SUVmax of 32 and repeated prostate biopsy demonstrating a Gleason score 9/ISUP Grade Group 5 PCa.

**Conclusions:** The strict follow up of men with PI-RADS score 5 and negative histology reduce the risk of missing csPCa especially if PSMA PET/CT evaluation is in agreement with downgrading of mpMRI (PI-RADS score < 3).

**KEY WORDS:** Prostate cancer; PSMA PET/CT; mpMRI; PI-RADS score 5.

Submitted 5 February 2024; Accepted 18 february 2024

## INTRODUCTION

Multiparametric magnetic image resonance (mpMRI) is recommended in men with suspicion prostate cancer (PCa) (1), but, still today, systematic prostate biopsies should be always combined with mpMRI/TRUS fusion biopsy due to the false negative rate (2-4) of mpMRI (15-20% of the cases) (5). The aggressiveness of clinically significant (csPCa) is correlated with the mpMRI Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) scores; the detection rate for csPCa of suspicious mpMRI lesions performing targeted biopsy ranges from 65.3 to 83.8% (6) and in the presence of a suspicious area with PI-RADS score 5 ranges from 59.2 to 86% of the cases (7, 8). Therefore, a negative biopsy in men with PI-RADS score 5 need a thorough clinical follow up to avoid missing csPCa diagnosis.

In our study, the follow up of men with negative biopsy histology of PI-RADS score 5 lesions has been reported including prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) positron-emission tomography (PET/CT) evaluation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

From January 2011 to January 2023, 2,405 men (median age: 64 years; range: 41-86 years) underwent extended (median 20 cores; range: 16-22) or saturation (SPBx: median 26 cores; range: 22-30) transperineal prostate biopsy for the suspicion of cancer (9-11). Informed consents were obtained from all participants included in the study following institutional ethical committee approval. Before biopsy the patients underwent pelvic mpMRI using a 1.5 and 3.0 Tesla scanner (ACHIEVA 3T; Philips Healthcare Best, the Netherlands) equipped with surface 16-channel phased-array coil; multi-planar turbo spin-echo T2-weighted, axial diffusion weighted imaging (high b-value - 2000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>), and axial dynamic contrast enhanced MRI were performed for each patient (12). The systematic biopsy was performed transperineally and mpMRI lesions with PI-RADS score > 3 (1.380/2.405 equal to 57.4% of the cases) were submitted to targeted biopsy (TPBx: four cores performing a transperineal cognitive approach, anterior zone of the gland) or a fusion guided-biopsy (Hitachi 70 Arietta ecograph, Chiba, Japan) (13-15). All the patients were sedated and received a single intraoperative dose of antibiotic prophylaxis. The detection rate for csPCa has been evaluated (16); moreover, the Clavien-Dindo grading system for the classification of biopsy complications was used (17).

All the 180 men with PI-RADS score 5 had not dysuria, irritative urinary symptoms or stranguria. In 155/180 (86.1%) patients a stage T1c PCa was diagnosed, and 145/155 (93.5%) of them (Table 1) were classified as csPCa (International Society of Urologic Pathology "ISUP Grade Group "GG" > 2); in detail, 85/145 (58.6%), 30/145 (20.7%) and 30/145 (20.7%) csPCa were diagnosed in the peripheric, anterior or both zones of the prostate, respectively. The median total PSA was 8.9 ng/ml (range: 2.7-95 ng/ml); moreover, quantitative biopsy histology, PSA density (PSAD), PSA free/total are listed in Table 1. SPBx diagnosed 5/155 (3.2%) csPCa and 8/155 (5.2%) indolent PCa located outside the PI-RADS 5 lesions.

In the remaining 25/180 (13.9%) patients with absence of cancer: 1/25 (4%) had a specific granulomatous prostatitis (*Mycobacterium Tuberculosis*), 8/25 (32%) an aspecific granulomatous prostatitis, and 16/25 (64%) a normal parenchyma. None of the patients had significant complications (only Clavien-Dindo grade I) following prostate

biopsy, requiring hospital admission. The men with granulomatous prostatitis underwent specific antibiotic therapy followed by laboratory showing negative culture of urine and semen; moreover, the urine and sperm search for Mycobacterium Tuberculosis test including the semen polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (TB-PCR) were negative. The clinical follow up of patients without proven diagnosis of PCa including PSMA PET/CT evaluation has been reported.

**RESULTS**

All the 25 men with PI-RADS score 5 and negative histology six months from biopsy underwent: digital rectal examination (DRE), PSA, PSAD, mpMRI and PSMA PET/CT evaluation (Table 2). PET/CT imaging was performed using a CT-integrated PET scanner (Biograph 6; Siemens, Knoxville, TN, USA); 68Ga-PSMA-11 was given to patients via an intravenous bolus; images were processed to obtain PET, CT, and PET-CT fusion sections in the axial, coronal, and sagittal planes with a thickness of approximately 0.5 ~ cm. The location of focal uptake on 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT, three-dimensional size, and standardised uptake value (SUVmax) values were reported on a per-lesion basis with a sextant scheme (18, 19). Twenty-four (96%) patients did not underwent repeated prostate biopsy because PSA significantly decreased, moreover, the initial PI-RADS score 5 was significantly downgraded by a repeated mpMRI to PI-RADS score < 3 (Table 2); in addition, median SUVmax was 7.5 (range: 4-32). Only 1/25 (4%) man, who was submitted 3 years before to transurethral prostate resection for benign prostate enlargement, had an increased PSA value (from 10.5 to 31 ng/ml) with a confirmed PI-RADS score 5 and

**Table 1.** Clinical parameters in 155 men with prostate cancer and PI-RADS score 5 submitted to systematic plus fusion targeted biopsy (TPBx).

Quantitative biopsy histology	PI-RADS score 5
Number of patients (pts)	155 pts
initial biopsy	70/155 (45%)
repeat biopsy	85/155 (55%)
csPCa	145/180 (86.1%)
Median mpMRI index lesion diameter (range)	23 millimeter (16-31)
Detection of csPCa (ISUP GG > 2)	145 pts
Systematic prostate biopsy	137 (94.5%)
TPBx	138 (95.2%)
Median number of positive cores	13
TPBx (range)	3 (2-4)
Systematic biopsy (range)	10 (7-20)
Median GPC	75%
TPBx (range)	80% (60-100%)
Systematic biopsy (range)	75% (50-100%)
PSA density (range)	0.21 (0.16-0.26)
PSA free/total (range)	12% (7-32%)
Median prostate weight (grams)	50 (20-130 grams)

ISUP: International Society of Urologic Pathology Grade Groups; mpMRI: Multiparametric magnetic resonance image; GPC: Greatest percentage of cancer; PI-RADS: Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System.

**Table 2.** Clinical follow up (six months from prostate biopsy) in 25 men with initial PI-RADS score 5 and negative histology for prostate cancer.

Biopsy histology	Aspecific granulomatous prostatitis	*Specific granulomatous prostatitis	Normal parenchyma	csPCa ISUPGG5
Number of patients	8 cases	1 case	15 cases	1 case
initial biopsy	6 (75%)	1 (100%)	7 (46.6%)	1 (100%)
repeat biopsy	2 (25%)	-	8 (63.4%)	-
Median PSA (range)	6.2 ng/ml (1.5-10.8)	3.2 ng/ml	4.7 ng/ml (3.1-12.7)	31 ng/ml
PSA density (range)	0.12 (0.10-0.18)	0.13	0.15 (0.12-0.16)	0.25
DRE	negative	negative	negative	negative
PI-RADS score < 2	4 (50%)	-	7 (46.5%)	-
PI-RADS score 3	4 (50%)	1	8 (63.4%)	-
PI-RADS score 4	-	-	-	-
PI-RADS score 5	-	-	-	1 (100%)
68GaPSMA PET/CT median SUVmax	7 (range: 4-10)	8 (range 5-11)	7 (4-11)	32

DRE: Digital rectal examination; PI-RADS: Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System; DRE: Digital rectal evaluation; \*Mycobacterium Tuberculosis; GaPSMA PET/CT: Gallium prostate-specific membrane antigen positron-emission tomography; SUVmax: Standardized uptake value; ISUP GG: International Society of Urologic Pathology Grade Groups.

intraprostatic SUVmax of 32 and suspicious bone metastases; TPBx and systematic biopsy demonstrated the presence of a Gleason score 9/ISUP GG5 PCa (6/24 positive cores) located in the anterior zone of the prostate that extended outside the gland.

**DISCUSSION**

Multiparametric MRI has improved the cost-effectiveness of prostate biopsy by reducing the risk of overdiagnosis and number of unnecessary procedures (20, 21). Although mpMRI is strongly recommended in men candidate to prostate biopsy or enrolled in active surveillance protocols (2, 22, 23), extended or SPBx should be always combined with mpMRI/TRUS fusion biopsy because the false negative rate of mpMRI (24) and the variable accuracy of mpMRI/TRUS fusion biopsy platforms (25). The correlation of the PI-RADS score to the diagnosis of aggressiveness cancer has been well established; Westphalen et al. (7) and Otti et al. (8) showed in men with PI-RADS score 5 a detection rate for csPCa equal to 59.2 and 86%, respectively; we previously reported a detection rate of csPCa in the 86.7% of 105 men with PI-RADS score 5 who underwent repeated prostate biopsy (26). The systematic prostate biopsy detects only 3.4% of csPCa in case of negative MRI/TRUS targeted biopsy of PI-RAS score 5 lesions (27). Therefore, the presence of a negative histology of a PI-RADS score 5 lesion needs an accurate follow up to avoid the risk of missing a high grade csPCa; the use of PSA, PSAD, risk calculator, urinary genetic tests, and the repetition of mpMRI allow to reduce the risk of harboring a csPCa. In this respect, a second opinion regarding initial mpMRI (28) and histology evaluation (29) should be performed to decrease the risk of false negative results. Recently, PSMA-PET/CT has been proposed for the diagnosis of primary intraprostatic cancer (18, 19, 30, 31); the

presence of focal uptake on PSMA-PET/CT (SUVmax) and the maximal dimensions of PET-avid lesions have been correlated with the presence of csPCa (32). Although there is a range of proposed cut-offs to detect csPCa from SUVmax (33-35), the concordance between preoperative PSMA PET/CT evaluation and definitive prostate specimen ranges from 81.2 (36) to 96% (37).

Many anatomic feature, benign conditions and technical pitfalls could mimic prostate cancer on mpMRI (38,39); the analysis of mpMRI parameters (DWI signal intensity and ADC values) combined with noninvasive test could help to separate benign lesions from csPCa (40-42). Gottlieb *et al.* (43) reported that men with previous specific granulomatous prostatitis the presence of a PI-RADS score  $\leq 3$  may not required prostate biopsy; in our experience, 16 men with initial PI-RADS score 5 and negative histology demonstrated six months later a PI-RADS score  $< 3$  with normal clinical parameters (PSA, DRE, PSAD) (26). Recently, Wong *et al.* (44) in 29 men with PIRADS score 4-5 and negative biopsy histology reported that a SUVmax  $> 20$  was correlated with the presence of csPCa. In our series, 25/180 (13.9%) patients with PI-RADS score 5 had negative biopsy histology; six months from prostate biopsy the reduction of PSA and PSAD in 24/25 (96%) patients combined with the downgrading of PI-RADS score from 5 to  $< 3$  allowed to avoid a repeated prostate biopsy; at the same time, PSMA PET/CT evaluation showed SUVmax (median 7.5) values not suspicious for csPCa resulting in agreement with the mpMRI results. Only one man (4%) had an increased PSA value (31 ng/ml) with PI-RADS score 5, SUVmax of 32 and TPBx combined with systematic biopsy demonstrated the presence of a Gleason score 9/ISUP GG5 PCa.

In definitive, the strict clinical follow up of men with negative histology of PI-RADS score 5 lesions reduce the risk of missing csPCa especially if PSMA PET/CT evaluation is in agreement with downgrading of mpMRI (PI-RADS score  $< 3$ ).

Regarding our results some considerations should be done. Firstly, the results were not evaluated on the entire prostate gland. Secondly, we do not know if the presence of a mpMRI PIRADS score 3 (13 cases) was predictive of csPCa because a new biopsy was not performed. Third, a greater number of patients should be evaluated. Finally, a longer follow up is needed.

## CONCLUSIONS

A significant reduction of PSA and PSAD values combined with the downgrading of PI-RADS score to  $< 3$  allow to avoid a repeated prostate biopsy in men with initial PI-RADS 5 and negative biopsy; 68GaPSMA PET/CT evaluation, in our series, was in agreement with mpMRI results.

## REFERENCES

1. Lin Y, Yilmaz EC, Belue MJ, Turkbey B. Prostate MRI and image Quality: It is time to take stock. *Eur J Radiol* 2023; 161:110757.
2. Pepe P, Garufi A, Priolo G, Pennisi M. Can MRI/TRUS fusion targeted biopsy replace saturation prostate biopsy in the re-evaluation of men in active surveillance? *World J Urol* 2016; 34: 1249-1253.

3. Rosenkrantz AB, Verma S, Choyke P, et al. Prostate Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Targeted Biopsy in Patients with a Prior Negative Biopsy: A Consensus Statement by AUA and SAR. *J Urol* 2016; 196:1613-1618.
4. Pepe P, Cimino S, Garufi A, et al. Confirmatory biopsy of men under active surveillance: extended versus saturation versus multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging/transrectal ultrasound fusion prostate biopsy. *Scand J Urol* 2017; 51:260-263.
5. Filson CP, Natarajan S, Margolis DJ, et al. Prostate cancer detection with magnetic resonance-ultrasound fusion biopsy: The role of systematic and targeted biopsies. *Cancer* 2016; 15:884-892.
6. Pepe P, Garufi A, Priolo GD, et al. Is it Time to Perform Only Magnetic Resonance Imaging Targeted Cores? Our Experience with 1,032 Men Who Underwent Prostate Biopsy. *J Urol* 2018; 200:774-778.
7. Westphalen AC, Fazel F, Nguyen H, et al. Detection of clinically significant prostate cancer with PIRADS v2 scores, PSA density, and ADC values in regions with and without mpMRI visible lesions. *Int Braz J Urol* 2019; 45:713-723.
8. Otti VC, Miller C, Powell RJ, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging before biopsy in the detection of prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2019; 123:82-90.
9. Aragona F, Pepe P, Motta M, et al. Incidence of prostate cancer in Sicily: results of a multicenter case-findings protocol. *Eur Urol* 2005; 47:569-574.
10. Fandella A, Scattoni V, Galosi A, et al. Italian Prostate Biopsies Group: 2016 Updated Guidelines Insights. *Anticancer Res* 2017; 37:413-424.
11. Pepe P, Pennisi M. Morbidity following transperineal prostate biopsy: Our experience in 8.500 men. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 2022; 94:155-159.
12. Moore CM, Kasivisvanathan V, Eggener S, et al. START Consortium. Standards of reporting for MRI-targeted biopsy studies (START) of the prostate: recommendations from an International Working Group. *Eur Urol* 2013; 64:544-552.
13. Pepe P, Garufi A, Priolo G, Pennisi M. Transperineal Versus Transrectal MRI/TRUS Fusion Targeted Biopsy: Detection Rate of Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2017; 15:e33-e36.
14. Pepe P, Pennisi M, Fraggetta F. How Many Cores Should be Obtained During Saturation Biopsy in the Era of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance? Experience in 875 Patients Submitted to Repeat Prostate Biopsy. *Urology* 2020; 137:133-137.
15. Pepe P, Dibenedetto G, Pennisi M, et al. Detection rate of anterior prostate cancer in 226 patients submitted to initial and repeat transperineal biopsy. *Urol Int* 2014; 93:189-192.
16. Epstein JI, Egevad L, Amin MB, et al. Grading Committee. The 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) Consensus Conference on Gleason Grading of Prostatic Carcinoma: Definition of Grading Patterns and Proposal for a New Grading System. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2016; 40:244-52.
17. Dindo D, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications. A new proposal with evaluation in a Cohort of 6336 patients and results of survey. *Ann Surg* 2004; 2:205-213.
18. Pepe P, Pennisi M. Targeted Biopsy in Men High Risk for Prostate Cancer: 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT Versus mpMRI. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* 2023; 21:639-642.
19. Pepe P, Pepe L, Tamburo M, et al. Targeted prostate biopsy:

- 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT vs. mpMRI in the diagnosis of prostate cancer. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 2022; 94:274-277.
20. Faria R, Soares MO, Spackman E, et al. Optimising the Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer in the Era of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Cost-effectiveness Analysis Based on the Prostate MR Imaging Study (PROMIS). *Eur Urol* 2018; 73:23-30.
21. Pepe P, Pepe G, Pepe L, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Multiparametric MRI in 800 Men Submitted to Repeat Prostate Biopsy: Results of a Public Health Model. *Anticancer Res* 2018; 38:2395-2398.
22. Pepe P, Pepe L, Pennisi M, Fraggetta F. Confirmatory transperineal saturation prostate biopsy combined with mpMRI decrease the reclassification rate in men enrolled in Active Surveillance: Our experience in 100 men submitted to eight-years scheduled biopsy. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 2022; 94:270-273.
23. Kim H, Pak S, Park KJ, et al. Utility of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging With PI-RADS, Version 2, in Patients With Prostate Cancer Eligible for Active Surveillance: Which Radiologic Characteristics Can Predict Unfavorable Disease? *Clin Genitourin Cancer* 2020; 18:50-55.
24. Cecchini S, Castellani D, Fabbietti P, et al. Combination of Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging With Elastic-fusion Biopsy Has a High Sensitivity in Detecting Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer in Daily Practice. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2020; 18:e501-e509.
25. Westhoff N, Siegel FP, Hausmann D, et al. Precision of MRI/ultrasound-fusion biopsy in prostate cancer diagnosis: an ex vivo comparison of alternative biopsy techniques on prostate phantoms. *World J Urol* 2017; 35:1015-1022.
26. Pepe P, Pennisi M. Negative Biopsy Histology in Men With PI-RADS Score 5 in Daily Clinical Practice: Incidence of Granulomatous Prostatitis. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* 2020; 18:e684-e687.
27. Arabi A, Deebajah M, Yaguchi G, et al. Systematic Biopsy Does Not Contribute to Disease Upgrading in Patients Undergoing Targeted Biopsy for PI-RADS 5 Lesions Identified on Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Course of Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer. *Urology* 2019; 134:168-172.
28. Li JL, Phillips D, Towfighi S, et al. Second-opinion reads in prostate MRI: added value of subspecialty interpretation and review at multidisciplinary rounds. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. 2022; 47:827-837.
29. Salvi M, Caputo A, Balmativila D, Scotto M. Impact of Stain Normalization on Pathologist Assessment of Prostate Cancer: A Comparative Study. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2023; 15:1503.
30. Pepe P, Pepe L, Tamburo M, et al. 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT and Prostate Cancer Diagnosis: Which SUVmax Value? *In Vivo* 2023; 37:1318-1322.
31. Pepe P, Fandella A, Barbera M, et al. Advances in radiology and pathology of prostate cancer: a review for the pathologist. *Pathologica*. 2024; 116:1-12.
32. Pepe P, Pepe L, Cosentino S, et al. Detection Rate of 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT vs. mpMRI Targeted Biopsy for Clinically Significant Prostate Cancer. *Anticancer Res*. 2022; 42:3011-3015.
33. Pepe P, Roscigno M, Pepe L, et al. Could 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT Evaluation Reduce the Number of Scheduled Prostate Biopsies in Men Enrolled in Active Surveillance Protocols? *J Clin Med* 2022; 11:3473.
34. Demirci E, Kabasakal L, Sahin OE, et al. Can SUVmax values of Ga-68-PSMA PET/CT scan predict the clinically significant prostate cancer? *Nucl Med Commun* 2019; 40:86-91.
35. Rüschoff JH, Ferraro DA, Muehlemaier UJ, et al. What's behind 68Ga-PSMA-11 uptake in primary prostate cancer PET? Investigation of histopathological parameters and immunohistochemical PSMA expression patterns. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2021; 48:4042-4053.
36. Zhang LL, Li WC, Xu Z, et al. 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT targeted biopsy for the diagnosis of clinically significant prostate cancer compared with transrectal ultrasound guided biopsy: a prospective randomized single-centre study. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2021; 48:483-492.
37. Liu Y, Yu H, Liu J, et al. A Pilot Study of 18F-DCFPyL PET/CT or PET/MRI and Ultrasound Fusion Targeted Prostate Biopsy for Intra-Prostatic PET-Positive Lesions. *Front Oncol* 2021; 11:612157.
38. Rais-Bahrami S, Nix JW, Turkbey B, et al. Clinical and multiparametric MRI signatures of granulomatous prostatitis. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2017; 42:1956-1962.
39. Chatterjee A, Thomas S, Oto A Prostate MR: Pitfalls and benign lesions *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2020; 45:2154-2164.
40. Gordetsky JB, Ullman D, Schultz L, et al. Histologic findings associated with false-positive multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging performed for prostate cancer detection. *Hum Pathol* 2019; 83:159-165.
41. Kawada H, Kanematsu M, Goshima S, et al. Multiphase contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging features of *Bacillus Calmette-Guérin*-induced granulomatous prostatitis in five patients. *Korean J Radiol* 2015; 16:342-348.
42. Pepe P, Pepe L, Curduman M, et al. Ductal prostate cancer staging: Role of PSMA PET/CT. *Arch Ital Urol Androl*. 2024; 96:12132.
43. Gottlieb J, Princenthal R, Cohen MI. Multi-parametric MRI findings of granulomatous prostatitis developing after intravesical bacillus calmette-guérin therapy. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2017; 42:1963-1967.
44. Wong LM, Koschel S, Whish-Wilson T, et al. Investigating PSMA-PET/CT to resolve prostate MRI PIRADS4-5 and negative biopsy discordance. *World J Urol* 2023; 463-469.

---

#### Correspondence

Pietro Pepe, MD  
 piepepe@hotmail.com  
 Ludovica Pepe, MD  
 ludopepe97@gmail.com  
 Michele Pennisi, MD  
 micheppennisi2@virgilio.it  
 Urology Unit, Cannizzaro Hospital, via Messina 829, Catania, Italy

---

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.